# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

RUGGERO SANTILLI and CARLA SANTILLI,

<b>T</b>		. • .	CC
μ	lain	111	tte
1.	լալլ	LLL	LIO.

CASE NO. 8:17-cv-1797-T-33MAP

VS.

PEPIJN VAN ERP, FRANK ISRAEL AND HOSTING2GO,

Def	endants.		

# PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

NOW COMES, the Plaintiffs, RUGGERO SANTILLI and CARLA SANTILLI (hereinafter the "Plaintiffs"), by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully moves this Court for a preliminary injunction ordering Defendants, PEPIJN VAN ERP (hereinafter "Van Erp"), HOSTING2GO (hereinafter "H2G") and FRANK ISRAEL (hereinafter "Israel") (collectively the "Defendants"), to revise the title and URL of one blog/article published by Defendants and remove language within another blog/article pertaining to Plaintiffs, and in support of this Motion states as follows:

# **BACKGROUND**

- 1. Plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims against the Defendants' and Plaintiffs are being irreparably harmed by the Defendants' improper actions.
- 2. Plaintiffs continue to be damaged by Defendants' negative postings on websites, from which Defendant VanErp has complete control of the websites containing the negative postings that continue to damage Plaintiffs.

- 3. Defendants have purposely used and/or directed the use of the internet as a tool to reach into the state of Florida and publish disparaging and defaming (untrue) blogs/articles to third-party individuals and businesses within and outside the State of Florida, with the intent of causing injury to the Plaintiffs.
- 4. Plaintiffs continue to be damaged due to third-party residents/businesses reading blogs/articles published or caused to be published by Defendants.
- 5. As a result of the publication of the blogs/articles by Defendants, the Plaintiffs have and continue to be injured by such tortious conduct but without the ability to track all the damages being caused making it impossible to request complete remuneration via a civil lawsuit.
- 6. Defendants continue to use the internet as a tool to reach others in the state of Florida and beyond and publish disparaging and defaming (untrue) blogs/articles to third-party individuals and businesses, with the intent of causing injury to the Plaintiffs.
- 7. On or about February 6, 2016, Defendants, published an article/blog titled "The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli" on the website PepijnVanErp.nl. See Exhibit A, which is still posted and available to all.
- 8. On or about August 12, 2016, Defendants published/republished an article/blog entitled "Finding JV Kadeisvili-or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli" on the website Pepijnvanerp.nl. See Exhibit B, which is still posted and available to all.
- 9. Exhibits A and B are referred collectively as "The Subject Articles." The Subject Articles were published by Defendants.
- 10. H2G is the host of the website that contains The Subject Articles and has the ability to remove or revise The Subject Articles from the website.

- 11. The continued publishing of the Subject Articles, as written, continue to cause harm. See **Composite Exhibit C** (Affidavits of Timothy Scott Wainwright, Affidavit of Scott Tadsen and Google Ranking Screenshot).
- 12. The harm caused is vast and many times unknown as there is no mechanism to determine who has read, believed and reacted in a way that would harm Plaintiffs in their business and personally. See **Composite Exhibit C**
- 13. Because some damages are not measurable and cannot be recouped through civil remedy, injunctive relief is appropriate.
- 14. Plaintiffs have requested, personally and through their attorney, that Defendants remove the subject damaging content, that they control, on multiple occasions and have made Defendants aware that the content they are publishing is false and/or not the proper protocol in which to attack Plaintiffs, but Defendants have continued to publish the content that creates unmeasurable damages without any compromise.
- 15. Plaintiffs have requested, through their attorney, that Defendants, at a minimum, perform the following:
  - a. Change the title of the blog and URL from "The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli" to "Criticism-of-the isodual-theory-of-antimatter"
  - b. Removal of the sentence below contained in the blog/article entitled "More Shenanegans by Ruggero Santilli:" "To most people it will be clear that this award was instigated by Mr. S. himself and that he just asked his co-worker Georgiev to organize the signatures. There is a clue that this piece of paper was doctored at the offices of Mr. S.: the filename of the picture is 'TARPON\_2.jpg', which points to the address of his business (1444 Rainville Road, Tarpon Springs, Florida). I'm sure that these are just two more events we can add to the long list of fringe activities on Santilli's curriculum vitae."

- 16. For content outlined in paragraph 15 above, which is published and/or controlled by the Defendants, should be ordered to immediately be revised and/or removed as requested until further order of this Court.
- 17. Pursuant to local Rule 3.01(g), Plaintiffs' counsel certifies that he has conferred with counsel for Defendants and that Defendants oppose the relief sought in this motion.

# **MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 65(b)(1)(A), specific facts in the verified complaint clearly show that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result to the movant before the adverse party can be heard in opposition. Further, there are damages occurring through the continued publication of the Subject Articles that cannot be ascertained from which injunctive relief is the only avenue to mitigate and/or stop the unascertainable damages. As such, Injunctive relief is proper and should be granted by this Honorable Court.

# **ARGUMENT**

The Court should grant Plaintiffs' Motion for a preliminary injunction to prevent the substantial and irreparable harm that will result from the Defendants' false and malicious statements about Plaintiff, which has and continues to harm his business, reputation and future earnings, as well as, his standing in the community. Ordering Defendants to either revise or remove these materials is the only avenue from which to discontinue unknown and immeasurable damages caused by the publications.

## I. STANDARD FOR GRANTING INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

The *sine qua non* of injunctive relief is a showing of irreparable injury and the inadequacy of legal remedies. *See Sampson v. Murray*, 415 U.S. 61, 88 (1974). "[A] plaintiff seeking a preliminary injunction must establish [1] that he is likely to succeed on the merits, [2] that he is

likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, [3] that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and [4] that an injunction is in the public interest." *Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008).

These four factors traditionally have been evaluated on a sliding scale and balanced against each other. See *Serono Labs, Inc. v. Shalala*, 158 F.3d 1313, 1318 (D.C. Cir. 1998). Thus, injunctive relief may be granted if one of the four factors is weighted more heavily while the others are weighted less heavily in favor of the movant. *CXI Transp., Inc. v. Williams*, 406 F.3d 667, 670 (D.C. Cir. 2005) (quoting *CityFed Fin. Corp. v. Office of Thrift Supervision*, 58 F.3d 738, 747 (D.C. Cir. 1995)); see also *Cuomo v. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n*, 772 F.2d 972, 974 (D.C. Cir. 1985)) ("A stay may be granted with either a high probability of success and some injury, or vice versa."). Plaintiffs can clearly meet the four requirements of injunctive relief on its claims, and Plaintiffs will suffer extreme and serious damage without judicial intervention.

# II. PLAINITFF HAS SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON THE MERITS

Plaintiffs are making claims for defamation and tortious interference with a business relationship. Under Florida law Defamation is generally defined as the unprivileged publication of false statements which naturally and proximately result in injury to another. *Wolfson v. Kirk*, 273 So. 2d 774 (Fla. 4th DCA 1973). To establish a cause of action for defamation, a plaintiff must show: (1) That the defendant published a false statement about the plaintiff; (2) To a third party; and (3) That the falsity of the statement caused injury to the plaintiff. *See Razner v. Wellington Regional Med. Ctr., Inc.*, 837 So. 2d 437 (Fla. 4th DCA 2002).

There are four categories of statements that constitute slander per se: 1. Imputing to another a criminal offense amounting to a felony; 2. Imputing to another a presently existing venereal disease or other loathsome and communicable disease; 3. Imputing to another, the other being a

woman, acts of unchastity; 4. Imputing to another conduct, characteristics or a condition incompatible with the proper exercise of his lawful business, trade, profession, or office. *See Wolfson*, 273 So. 2d at 777 (internal citations omitted). "[W]hen the claim is defamation per se, liability itself creates a conclusive legal presumption of loss or damage..." *Lawnwood Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Sadow*, 43 So. 3d 710 at 727 (Fla. 4th DCA 2010).

As can be seen from The Subject Articles, Defendants indisputably made allegations that qualify as defamation per se. In Exhibit A of the Complaint, Defendants make defamatory per se allegations such as Dr. Santilli is stupid, a fringe scientist (in a negative connotation), publishes articles in fake journals, pays people to publish his research and findings, uses an alias in his publications, is a mad professor or scam artist or both, that he preys on people that fall for "sciency sounding nonsense". In Exhibit B to the Complaint, Defendants publish that he once had a normal career but is now a fringe scientist, is the founder of a "dubious company," turned his back on regular science, only holds an appearance of a serious scientist, that Dr. Santilli used an alias to defend his own work and is committing scientific fraud, that he only maintains an appearance of serious science, that he is running a pyramid scheme similar to other schemes and that Dr. Santilli has fake organizations, Finally, in Exhibit C of the Complaint, Defendants state that Dr. Santilli used aliases to write articles to support his "pseudoscientific articles," that he fabricates awards, that he has committed scientific fraud and he has committed crimes in Italy via anti-Semitic e-mails and racial hate.

Each of the above outlined allegations within the exhibits to the Complaint are false and either accuse Mr. Santilli of a crime or conduct, characteristics or conditions incompatible with the proper exercise of Dr. Santilli's lawful business, trade and/or profession, which fall under the

purview of Defamation Per Se from which damages are presumed. Therefore, Dr. Santilli is very likely to succeed on the merits of the claim.

The second allegation in the complaint is Tortious Interference with a Business Relationship. The elements required to bring a Tortious Interference claim are (1) the existence of a business relationship not necessarily evidenced by an enforceable contract; (2) knowledge of the relationship on the part of the defendant; (3) an intentional and unjustified interference with that relationship by the defendant; and (4) damage as a result of the breach of relationship. *Linafelt v. Beverly Enterprises-Florida*, 745 So.2d 386, 389 (Fla. 1st DCA 1999).

It has been recognized by Defendants, through the exhibits, that there are people/investors that were interested in the different inventions of Dr. Santilli through allegations that people fall prey to Dr. Santilli's "sciency sounding" ideas and products. By these very statements it is evident that Defendants are attempting to dissuade the scientific and business community from doing business with Dr. Santilli. The Defendants false and malicious statements with the intent to interrupt or interfere with Plaintiffs' known business relationships will prevail.

# III. PLAINITFF WILL SUFFER IRREPARABLE HARM ABSENT AN INJUNCTION, WHILE THE DEFENDANTS HAVE NO RISK OF HARM

The Subject Articles to this Motion are the ones that are creating damage which cannot be measured and/or cannot be recouped via a civil lawsuit. If the Subject Articles are allowed to continue, the Defendants false and malicious statements with the intent to interrupt or interfere with Plaintiffs' known business relationships will impose irreparable and extreme harm on Plaintiffs. Harm is "irreparable" when no "adequate compensatory or other corrective relief will be available at a later date, in the ordinary course of litigation . . . ." Virginia Petroleum Jobbers Ass'n v. Fed. Power Comm'n, 259 F.2d 921, 925 (D.C. Cir. 1958). In the case at bar, much of the damages caused by Defendants' publication of the Subject Articles delineated in paragraph 15 to

this Motion cannot be tracked and it is unknown and not possible to determine damages to those that had interest in funding research or projects of Plaintiffs. See **Composite Exhibit C.** The only way to stop the damage is to revise and/or discontinue the publications, which serve no interest to the public as researched and written.

The Defendants would not be harmed at all if the Court were to grant Plaintiffs' request for preliminary injunctive relief as Defendants would simply need to remove or revise the Subject Articles and not continue to publish, write or blog any false and malicious statements in the future.

Thus, the harm Plaintiffs are suffering, and will continue to suffer, as long as the false and malicious statements remain as written on the world wide web – is both extreme and irreparable, while Defendants would suffer no harm if the Court were to have Defendants revise and/or remove the Subject Articles. Accordingly, preliminary injunctive relief is warranted.

## IV. PUBLIC INTEREST SUPPORTS INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

The public interest would most be served by revision and removal of the Subject Articles. There has been no scientific method, or other method acceptable in the scientific community, used to attack Dr. Santilli. Although the scientific method is the most accepted way to debunk science and scientific inventions, that has not been used by Defendants prior to publishing the disparaging Subject Articles. However, the Subject Articles are reaching the scientific community as evidenced by the comments associated with each of the publications and attached with the exhibits. The fact that these disparaging publications are reaching the scientific community shows that the public is receiving these false and/or exaggerated allegations, to the detriment of the community, as a whole. The internet is a fairly new technology and if we were to allow it to open the door to the wild wild cyberland, we are encouraging people to wrongly attack scientific advancement by dissuading those that may move science forward through research of novel scientific ideas. The

Subject Articles are doing just that by disparaging novel science brought to the scientific community for further research and development. These Subject Articles are dissuading other scientists from moving these novel ideas forward for fear of persecution as Defendants have done to Plaintiffs in this cause.

Because Defendants have not done their own research and attacked Plaintiff's science via scientific method or any other accepted method of research, their attacks on Dr. Santilli are unsupported and do not provide any benefit to the community, but instead are providing a disservice. Even if there were some flaws in Plaintiff's research (and that is not being admitted), it opens the door for others to review the research and conduct their own studies to further science. However, when people like Defendants attack scientists the way that it has been done in the case at bar, it only deters others from doing further research and moving science forward.

# **CONCLUSION**

Plaintiff will prevail on the merits of his claims because the Defendants' actions are unlawful, defamatory and have damaged the Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are suffering, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm due to the Defendants actions and an injunction is necessary. Also, removing the Subject Articles will serve the scientific community while no detriment to the community would be realized by having the Defendants remove or revise the articles. Accordingly, the Court should grant Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court to enter an Order that Defendants must immediately revise and/or remove the stated publications, articles or blogs as requested in paragraph 15 of this Motion and were originally published by Defendants, that Defendants shall not publish, write or blog any further information about Plaintiffs without doing their own

scientific research, as reasonably expected in the scientific community on the topics and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,
/s/Joseph E. Parrish
JOSEPH E. PARRISH
Florida Bar. No: 690058
The Parrish Law Firm, P.A.
P.O. Box 1307
Brandon, Florida 33509
(813) 643-4529; (813) 315-6535 (fax)
Primary: jparrish@theparrishfirm.com
Secondary: admin@theparrishfirm.com
Counsel for Plaintiff

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via the Court's CM/ECF filing Portal to counsel for Defendant Van Erp and Frank Isreal: James J. McGuire, Esquire and James B. Lake, Esquire, Thomas & Locciero, PL, 601 South Boulevard, Tampa, Florida 33606 at <a href="mailto:jmcguire@tlolawfirm.com">jmcguire@tlolawfirm.com</a>, <a href="mailto:jlake@tlolawfirm.com">jlake@tlolawfirm.com</a>; on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018.

/s/Joseph E. Parrish
Attorney

# **EXHIBIT A**



# The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli

\(\begin{align\*}
\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texit{\tet{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\t

**y G**+ in **≥** (MAILTO:?

(HTTPS://TWITTER.CO (HTTP://WWW.FACEBO (HTTP·//PLIIS GOOGLE (HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDI SUBJECT=THE Recently the following YouTube video of Thunder Energies Corporation, a company of fringe scientist Ruggero Santilli (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ruggero\_Santilli), has gotten quite some attention on the web, mainly on sites on the paranormal.



So what does Santilli claim this time? That antimatter produces antimatter-light and that it can be focussed using *concave* lenses. This in contrast to 'ordinary' light which you can focus with *convex* lenses. You can also read it in detail at the website (http://www.thunder-energies.com/index.php/ct-menu-item-18/11-article-8) of the company:

Matter-Antimatter annihilation also requires that antimatter-light must have energy opposite that of matter-light, as predicted by P. A. M. Dirac in 1932 and verified by R. M. Santilli in his decades of research on antimatter (see the the theoretical confirmation (http://www.santilli-foundation.org/docs/Santilli-28.pdf) and the experimental confirmation (http://www.santilli-foundation.org/docs/Antimatter-telescope-2013-final.pdf)).

This claim by Santilli might be the easiest to debunk of all the extraordinary claims he has made (like the existence of magnecules and his alternative explanation for why the sun colors red when it sets). The whole concept of antimatter-light is bullshit, because the anti-particle of a photon is simply a photon. So if you want to speak of antimatter-light it's no different than 'normal' light. 'Antimatter-light' will therefore not focus with a concave lens. I will not

# 8/21/2017 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP @ @dicuing@inti@@o1Ruffited@@1/2017 Page 3 of 29 PageID 888

even bother trying to give explanations for the grainy images he took with his Santilli-'out-of-focus'-telescope which he claims show Invisible Terrestrial Entities

Santilli writes that he has an article on this discovery of *Invisible Terrestrial Entities* in press with the *American Journal of Modern Physics*. That's just one of those fake journals, which will probably print anything if you are willing to pay their fees (it's on Beall's list of predatory scholarly open-access publishers (https://scholarlyoa.com/publishers/) [mirror (http://archive.is/CK0S6)] – 24/5/2016: see update below). It's not the first article on his silly Santilli telescope, some of affiliates wrote on it before (http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/journal/paperinfo?journalid=122&doi=10.11648/j.ajmp.s.2015040101.17) in the same journal. And in 2014 Santilli wrote an article, together with some friends: "Preliminary confirmations of antimatter detection via Santilli's telescope with concave lenses"

CLIFFORD ANALYSIS, CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

CACAA - www.cliffordanalysis.com Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 27-39, 2014 © CSP - Cambridge, UK; I&S - Florida, USA, 2014

# PRELIMINARY CONFIRMATIONS OF ANTIMATTER DETECTION VIA SANTILLI'S TELESCOPE WITH CONCAVE LENSES

P. M. Bhujbal <sup>1</sup>, J. V. Kadeisvili<sup>2</sup>, A. Nas<sup>3</sup>, S. Randall<sup>4</sup>, T. R. Shelke <sup>5</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, M. H. Wegad Science College, Umrer 441203, India
- <sup>2</sup> Institute for Basic Research, 190 Eastwinds Ct, Palm Harbor, FL 34683, U.S.A.
- <sup>3</sup> Thunder Fusion Corporation, 150 Rainville Rd, Tarpon Springs, FL 34689, U.S.A
- <sup>4</sup> Night Fox Productions, P. O. Box 252, Dunedin, FL 34697, U.S.A.
- <sup>5</sup> . Department of Applied Physics, K.D.K. College of Engineering, Nagpur 440009, India
- \* Corresponding Author. E-mail: research@thunder-fusion.com

'But wait, there is no Santilli mentioned as author!', you might think. That's right, but in another article on my website (from May 2013) you'll find my proof that 'J.V. Kadeisvili' is just an alias for Ruggero Santilli himself: 'Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/)'. The website of this journal doesn't show any useful info at the moment, but from an announcement (https://gaupdate.wordpress.com/2011/12/23/1st-call-for-papers-cacca-journal/) (2011) we pick up that the Editor in Chief is (or was) Svetlin Georgiev, who has written a five volume book series (http://www.amazon.com/s/ref=dp\_byline\_sr\_book\_1?ie=UTF8&field-author=Svetlin+Georgiev&search-alias=books&text=Svetlin+Georgiev&sort=relevancerank) on the iso-mathematics of Santilli. I wonder how much Santilli paid for that service.

Is Santilli just a mad professor? Or is he a cunning scam artist trying to sell his 'Santilli-ofocus-scopes' (or even better: stock in his businesses) to people who fall easily for sciency sounding nonsense? Maybe both ...

**Update May 24<sup>th</sup> 2016:** Beall is now very clear on this publisher: "Science Publishing Group is a threat to researchers, a threat to science communication, and a threat to science." (see: Science Publishing Group: A Complete Scam (https://scholarlyoa.com/2016/05/24/science-publishing-group-a-complete-scam/) [mirror (http://archive.is/avX8n)])

**Update August 25<sup>th</sup> 2016**: Santilli is not happy at all with this post, see 'More Santilli Shenanigans (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/08/santilli-shenanigans/)'.

Update November 2<sup>nd</sup> 2016: next chapter in this story, 'Sued by Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/11/sued-by-ruggero-santilli/)'.

**9 G**+ **in ≥** (MAILTO:?

(HTTPS://TWITTER.CO (HTTP://WWW.FACEBO (HTTP·//PI IIS GOOGLE (HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDI SUBJECT=THE

 $Invisible \ Terrestrial \ Entities (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/tag/invisible-terrestrial-entities/) \\ Kadeisvilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/tag/kadeisvilli/) \\ Kadeisvilli (http://ww$ 

Ruggero Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/tag/ruggero-santilli/) santilli telescope (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/tag/santilli-telescope/)

thunder energies corporation (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/tag/thunder-energies-corporation/)

# 62 thoughts on "The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli"



#### PIERRE SAVOIE

 $04/01/2017\ at\ 2:39\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-46822)$ 

I hope Santilli never discovers Kickstarters...

 $REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=46822\#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=46822#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLY (HTTP:$ 

Pingback: Sued by Ruggero Santilli - Pepijn van Erp (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/11/sued-by-ruggero-santilli/)



#### NATHAN SCHMIDT (HTTPS://WWW.RESEARCHGATE.NET/PROFILE/NATHAN SCHMIDT)

16/09/2016 at 8:37 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-42704)

H3LLO 4LL!

Santilli's top priority must be to develop and disseminate the TEC nuclear equipment and combustion equipment as fast as possible.

Santilli needs to pull his head out of his 4ss and stop wasting people's valuable time and energy, including his own. No lies. No deception. No hate mails. No worthless legal battles. No 42-foot yacht. No mafia games. Just real science and real work. Get the job done like an honest man. Not a bunch of fake BS.

On one hand, I agree that most of Santilli's claims concerning the unethical scientific groups are likely to be incorrect or highly exaggerated. On the other hand, however, my experience and gut instincts tell me that there may be some truth to his claims, and that such claims must be investigated by law enforcement and, quite frankly, anybody who believes in freedom of speech, scientific democracy, self-defense, and justice. To me, it is clear that various unscientific, mafia-style, transnational groups with unethical interests have repeatedly attacked Santilli over some of his discoveries. This type of mafia-tribal-territorial-primate-BS behavior isn't surprising in fields such as politics, business, or even science (unfortunately). Such groups are focused on power and control... not the scientific method, not evaluating new hypotheses, and not making new discoveries. Such operations happen all the time, all over the place, on numerous scales. This isn't rocket science, it's human nature.

Unfortunately, after decades of such attacks, Santilli has become severely emotionally compromised, which has further caused him to become paranoid and delusional, which has further caused him to repeat the same mafia-tribal-territorial-primate-BS behavior by initiating attacks against people who have absolutely nothing to do with such unethical scientific groups. By now he is over 80 years old and has clearly lost the ability to distinguish between scientists who wish to get real work done, and "scientists" who may belong to such unethical groups of control freaks. Indeed, Santilli has become his own worst enemy. And this is unfortunate because he just drives people away, and thus any such related scientific progress comes to a halt.

Prior to Santilli initiating such totally unwarranted attacks, Christian, Reza, myself, and other colleagues were willing to go to his conferences to collaborate and share knowledge and ideas. We wanted to investigate his mathematics ideas and discoveries via the scientific method. While I certainly do not agree with all of his work, I am very confident that TEC nuclear equipment and combustion equipment (along with the mathematics that enabled the creation of such technology) has unlimited potential, and that he has only scratched the surface of such developments. With additional collaboration, such developments could be advanced by many orders of magnitude to make meaningful contributions to STEM. But unfortunately, Santilli does not allow this to happen.

Myself and colleagues made numerous proposals to the Santilli Club and its hidden "board of directors" in order to secure scientific research funding. We wanted the opportunity to do real science and get real work done, not play games. But as I said, Santilli's paranoia got the best of him, and such progress came to a halt. It became clear that it's impossible to work with Santilli, or rely on his establishment for any sort of "stable funding".

The Santilli Club's attacks motivated Christian, Reza, and I to write the paper titled "Confusion with gravitation and cosmology" published in the International Journal of Theoretical Physics (http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10773-016-3058-z

(http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10773-016-3058-z), preprint: http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206 (http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206)). We pointed out major flaws in Santilli's theories of cosmology and gravitation. Among many things, this paper should further indicate to Santilli that his top priority should be to develop and disseminate the TEC nuclear equipment and combustion equipment as fast as possible; not gravitation and cosmology.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=42704#RESPOND)



#### FRANK STONE

 $20/09/2016 \ at \ 2:58 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-42800)$ 

Schmidt, I hope all this activity on behalf of your master Corda (he keeps repeating that you are "his students") lands you a good job! Maybe the same job that Corda has.

by the way, I am not Ruggero Santilli and even if I were Santilli , it is not a "crime" to use an alias, especially in this type of discredited blogs.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=42800#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

20/09/2016 at 3:22 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-42801)

Who cares which Santilli actually typed those sentences. Email and IP address were used by Carla and IP address by 'Luca Petronio'. Therefore 'Mr. S.' as in More Santilli Shenanigans (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/08/santilli-shenanigans/). And it might be not a crime to use an alias on blogs like this, how is that in writing scientific articles?

REPLY (HTTP://www.pepiinvanerp.nl/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=42801#RESPOND)

# 



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://WWW.WORLDSCIENTIFIC.COM/DOI/ABS/10.1142/S0218271809015904)

21/09/2016 at 9:14 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-42814)

"Mr. Stone", you are Santilli because you have not the balls to use your real name. It is better having my job rather than being a poor old and crackpot man obsessed by false conspiracies like you..

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=42814#RESPOND)



#### NATHAN SCHMIDT (HTTPS://WWW.RESEARCHGATE.NET/PROFILE/NATHAN\_SCHMIDT)

 $22/09/2016\ at\ 7:34\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-42835)$ 

Clearly the Santilli Club knows alot about IP addressing, computer networking, and how to protect their servers.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=42835#RESPOND)

Pingback: More Santilli Shenanigans - Pepijn van Erp (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/08/santilli-shenanigans/)



#### JOAQUIM

 $22/08/2016 \ at \ 3:52 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-42197)$ 

I live quite far away from the US of A and only yesterday did I came across Mr. Santilli's telescope and an article claiming the confirmation of his observations.

I'm not really a young person and I've been building optics and telescopes since my youth, as a hobby. When I saw the telescope and that confirmation article, I thought something weird was going on, maybe I was going crazy or the laws of the universe changed while I wasn't looking. I just wanted to thank Mr.Pepijn for restoring my mental sanity.

I wish everyone a good night.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=42197#RESPOND)



#### FRANK STONE

20/07/2016 at 3:51 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-41421)

My comment is as relevant to the telescope as 90 % of the comments of Corda

and Smut Clyde....as you can see seeking alpha was convinced by the MagneGas attorneys to stick to statistics rather than invent stories to make money for those who illegally short the stock. The SEC says that shorting the stock is illegal and investigates those who spread lies and rumors in order to profit from plunging values. You said that MagneGas is a pyramid scheme and that Santilli, the founder of MagneGas acts with "continuing stupidity" so...

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41421#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

20/07/2016 at 10:32 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-41423)

You need to improve your reading skills. In 'Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli' (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli') I wrote: "It **looks like** a pyramid scheme" ('looks like', not 'is'). From the context I belief it's quite clear what I meant by that, namely that Magnegas didn't look like a sustainable firm at the time of writing considering the accumulated losses and without the prospect of compensating those losses with future gains because of the dubious way in which their product (the original Magnegas) was promoted as a gas mixture containing molecules with properties that are not known to mainstream science.

I also wrote: "Magnegas' main business doesn't seem to be selling gas but attracting small investors, who fall for the promise of Magnegas being a new miracle gas." If you follow the link to the Wikipedia article which is in my article under "pyramid scheme" you'll find that the description fits reasonably well.

And in the most recent update (Nov. 2014) to the article I wrote: "It still doesn't look like a financially solid company to me and they seem to be struggling to keep the MNGA stock listed on the NASDAQ, but I am not an expert on stockmarket operations." I really don't care about Magnegas as a company, as nowadays they don't seem to promote their product to openly with claims that conflict with mainstream science.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41423#RESPOND)



SMUT CLYDE

 $21/07/2016\ at\ 1:59\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-41443)$ 

# 8/21/2017 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPie Projection Cinti 4001 Ruffied and 120/12018 var Page 6 of 29 Page ID 884



Magnegas' main business doesn't seem to be selling gas but attracting small investors

That can be characteristic of a Ponzi scheme as well as of a pyramid scheme. And Magnegas lacks the multi-level-marketing feature of pyramids.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41443#RESPOND)



#### PEPIIN

21/07/2016 at 4:10 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-41446)

I know now that 'pyramid scheme' is not the best description, but I doubt that the Santilli clan likes the more appropriate names better. The way some hedge funds are involved with these penny stocks looks very dubious to me, but I don't find it interesting enough to go to the bottom of these things myself.



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

14/06/2016 at 10:29 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40824)

After informing various colleagues (included some of Mr. Santilli's running dogs like Mr. Svetlin Georgiev of the Sorbona University) that the paper correcting Mr. Santilli's mistakes in gravitation and cosmology has been published on-line by the International Journal of Theoretical Physics, I received the following message by Mr. Santilli's slave who uses the name of "Pamela Fleming":

"This is a paid non-refereed internet bash and not a serious publication. In any case, Corda's dishonesty is confirmed by the fact that he continues to ignore the historical evdience of the curvature of light bending due to the refraction of light in the sun chromosphere under which no actual curvature of space can possibly exist. he just states with immense arrogance "that's wrong:" without any technical dismissal, thus dreaming that this is serous physics. It is in reality politics to maintain Einstein theories which is very damaging to the Jewish community, including the good Jews (who are the majority).

Pamela Fleming".

As usual "Mr. Fleming" slanders and insults, but, concerning the points that she raised:

- 1) Actually, International Journal of Theoretical Physics is a serious, historical and refereed journal having 55 volumes, 561 issues and a current impact factor of 1.184, see here for details http://link.springer.com/journal/10773 (http://link.springer.com/journal/10773).
- 2) General relativity admits the existence of the curvature of the 4-dimensional space-time, not only of the 3-dimensional space. In any case, on one hand, we have ultimately shown Santilli's mistake on the non-existence of curvature in pages 6, 7 and 8 of the paper based on the power of the equivalence principle. On the other hand, in page 5 we have shown that the curvature of light bending cannot be due to the refraction of light in the Sun chromosphere because the entire sky, and not only the portion near the Sun chromosphere, is slightly distorted due to the gravitational deflection of light caused by the Sun (except the anti-Sun direction). This effect has been observed by the European Space Agency astrometric satellite Hipparcos and the results agree with the prevision of the general theory of relativity at the level of 0.3 percent. Clearly, as the Newtonian value is exactly half of the Einsteinian one, a precision of the level of 0.3 percent rules out in an ultimate way the possibility to consider the gravitational bending of the light in a purely Newtonian context. Thus, Mr. Santilli's mistake is confirmed by the observations in an ultimate way and both of Mr. Santilli and Mrs. Fleming merely speak nonsense.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40824#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://WWW.WORLDSCIENTIFIC.COM/DOI/ABS/10.1142/S0218271809015904)

 $13/06/2016\ at\ 10:45\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40801)$ 

Paper correcting Santilli's mistakes in cosmology and gravitation published online by International Journal of Theoretical Physics: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10773-016-3058-z (http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10773-016-3058-z)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40801#RESPOND)



#### **CHRISTIAN CORDA**

 $11/06/2016\ at\ 2:54\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40753)$ 

There is a new attack by "Luca Petronio" against Pepijn van Erp and Frank Israel http://www.scientificethics.org/lies-and-cheating-of-pepin-van-erp-and-frank-israel.html (http://www.scientificethics.org/lies-and-cheating-of-pepin-van-erp-and-frank-israel.html)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40753#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE (HTTP://EUSA-RIDDLED.BLOGSPOT.COM)

11/06/2016 at 12:02 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40757)

I feel left out. What do I have to do to become the recipient of one of Santilli's denunciations myself?

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40757#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://WWW.WORLDSCIENTIFIC.COM/DOI/ABS/10.1142/S0218271809015904)

13/06/2016 at 9:50 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40800)

Two possibilities:

- 1) You have to write a scientific paper claiming that Santilli made some mistake.
- 2) You have to claim in a personal blogthat Santilli made some mistake.

You will be immediately accused by "Luca Petronio" to be "corrupted by organized interests on Einstein"....

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40800#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE

08/07/2016 at 3:51 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-41208)

Currently, the http://www.scientificethics.org/ (http://www.scientificethics.org/) website is "entirely disabled due to pending legal actions".

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41208#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

 $08/07/2016\ at\ 11:53\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-41213)$ 

I noticed that a couple of weeks ago. It's not clear though what is meant by these 'pending legal actions'. Is it because someone is sueing Santilli, or is he planning some legal action himself and thinks this libelous website could very well be brought up in court and damage his change of success?

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41213#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE

08/07/2016 at 10:26 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-41221)

Don't tell him about the Internet Archive!



#### PEPIJN

05/06/2016 at 4:53 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40655)

Just to inform the readers of the comments:

Already in March I've started diverting comments from 'Frank Stone' to spam, so that they will not appear. Reason for this is that the same IP-address was used by 'Luca Petronio' to utter all kind of anti-semitic language which I will defintely not allow in the comments. But as the the readers of this post and my longer article on Santilli will know, all these different persona's are in fact Ruggero, his wife Carla or someone else of the Santilli clan. I will just treat all comments which are easy to track back to the Santilli clan in the same way. Up to today I blocked 2 comments by 'Luca Petronio' and 9 from 'Frank Stone'.

Another reason to block those comments is that they don't contain anything interesting, just complaints, mainly towards me and also in the direction of Christian Corda. That there is no proof that Corda's paper is accepted for publication. Well we can just wait and see, can't we? The cosmos won't go anywhere in the mean time.

Of course Santilli can do all nagging and complaining on his own websites, I see no reason to allow that here. Well, if it gets realy pathetic I might let some comments slip through 39

If Santilli would start apologizing for all the insults he ever sent to people via e-mail, remove the anti-semitic language from his website scientificethics[dot]org, and would admit he published under false identities in scientific journals or show some convincing evidence otherwise, like a birth certificate or passport of "Jerdsay Kadeisvili", maybe than I will allow his comments on my blog.

# 8/21/2017 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP @ @ Down in Cention 2017 Page 8 of 29 Page ID 333

In the mean time the readers who are interested in the economic activities of the Santilli clan will probably enjoy reading an article which analyzes their business model (http://www.valuewalk.com/2015/12/magnegas-mnga/?all=1). Of course Magnegas was not pleased with this revealing article and has announced in February (http://finance.yahoo.com/news/magnegas-identified-anonymous-short-seller-153217106.html) that they have found out who is the anonymous author and will pursue this individual "to the fullest extent of the law." I am not aware of any detailed comments by Magnegas on the contents of the article however.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40655#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE (HTTP://EUSA-RIDDLED.BLOGSPOT.COM)

05/06/2016 at 10:57 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40658)

the readers who are interested in the economic activities of the Santilli clan will probably enjoy reading

Those readers will further profit by extending their reading list to include the entwined activities of Francesco Fucilla and his family. It is a complicated ball of hair, but Italian journalist Sylvie Coyaud managed to disentangle the various threads into admirably lucid sequence, and then SOTT (of all places) translated Coyaud's report into English:

https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Francesco-Fucilla-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Francesco-Fucilla-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/251809-Impossible-science-doesnt-exist (https://www.sott.net/article/2518 Impossible-science-doesnt-exist)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40658#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE (HTTP://EUSA-RIDDLED.BLOGSPOT.COM)

05/06/2016 at 11:42 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40660)

I mention Francesco Fucilla's business activities, his creation the Telesio-Galilei Academy of Science (https://www.sott.net/article/244011-Corruption-in-Science-Francesco-Fucilla-and-the-Telesio-Galilei-Academy-of-Science) (originally the Santilli-Galilei Academy), and his penchant for enthusiastic commenting (http://cassiopaea.org/forum/index.php/topic,27411.0.html), purely with the altruistic goal of bringing Pepijn more traffic.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40660#RESPOND)



06/06/2016 at 1:59 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40672)

Fucilla left a message on this site, but didn't follow up: http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggerom-santilli/#comment-26203 (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-26203)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40672#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $07/06/2016\ at\ 10:05\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40693)$ 

Santilli argues that black is white... Here I forward the acceptance email of our paper in International Journal of Theoretical Physics. We have sent the final proofs, thus, the paper will be published soon.

- > Da: em.ijtp.f.4b4bdb.55d16ce2@editorialmanager.com (mailto:em.ijtp.f.4b4bdb.55d16ce2@editorialmanager.com) per conto di H. Saller
- > Inviato: venerdì 20 maggio 2016 11.09.27
- > A: Christian Corda
- > Oggetto: IJTP-D-15-01205R1 accepted for publication
- > Dear Dr. Corda,
- > We are pleased to inform you that your manuscript,
- > "Confusion in cosmology and gravitation", has been accepted for publication in
- > International Journal of Theoretical Physics.

- > You will receive an e-mail from Springer in due course with regards to the following items:
- > 1. Offprints

# 

- > 2. Colour figures
- > 3. Transfer of Copyright
- >
- > Please remember to quote the manuscript number,
- > IJTP-D-15-01205R1, whenever inquiring about your manuscript.
- >
- > With best regards,
- > Springer Journals Editorial Office
- > International Journal of Theoretical Physics
- >
- >
- > Reviewer #1: The paper can be accepted in the current form.
- >
- >
- > Reviewer #2: No comment is needed.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40693#RESPOND)



#### FRANK STONE

 $07/06/2016\ at\ 6:17\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40701)$ 

I think it is positive that a journal finally brings to the fore that there is disagreement on some fundamental issues in physics. It will open the door to people who also disagree but do not know where to go and how to formulate the disagreements! Positive news. Corda, relax an be happy and productive.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40701#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://WWW.WORLDSCIENTIFIC.COM/DOI/ABS/10.1142/S0218271809015904)

 $08/06/2016\ at\ 12:46\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40715)$ 

Actually, I have no doubts that "Frank Stone" is another alias of Santilli. Thus:

Dear Ruggero,

Actually, I am both relaxed and happy ans productive. I hope the same for you. I regret, but my paper does not bring to the fore that there is disagreement on some fundamental issues in physics. Instead, it brings to the fore that you made very elementary mistakes in cosmology and gravitation. I attempted various time in last years to explain you that the Big-Bang is NOT a cosmic explosion, I attempted various time to explain you that you do not understand how general relativity works, but you NEVER wanted to try to understand these issues based on your insistence that admitting the correctness of general relativity and of the big bang theory implies "being intentionally orchestrated to serve organized interests on Einstein," or similar accusations. In order to understand general relativity and its cosmological applications, one must have a majestic knowledge of differential geometry through years and years of studies. I regret, but this is not your case. You indeed confuse tensors with pseudo-tensors when analyzing the Freud Identity and you claim that "the radial Hubble law and the expansion of the universe imply the Earth at the center of the universe". These are very elementary mistakes, not "serious science". Only people like Anderson, Fleming and Johnson, who can be good collaborators but have null technical knowledge of these issues and think that you are the Messiah of science, can claim that you are correct. You are completely wrong instead and you ridicule yourself within the Scientific Community. I regret, but this is the truth. Please, give me a SOLE name of a serious scientist who agrees with you on these issues. I well remember that not only myself, but also Leong Ying, Peter Rowlands, Jorge Ovalle, Herman Mosquera Cuesta and other researchers who attended to your conferences in last years attempted various times to clarify these issues to you, but it was as we were speaking with a wall. A lot of your friends agree with me on these issues, but they have fear to speak. I have no fear instead, because I work on these issues from almost 20 years. I am a physicist of gravitation, while you are an applied mathematician. You cannot pretend to be a good scientist in all the fields of science. Science is too much complicated today and there is no room for knowing-all scientists.

Thus, as various colleagues insisted to connect such wrong claims in gravitation and cosmology to my name, I was forced to clarify my position. This is a pity. In fact, your knowledge and understanding of general relativity and modern cosmology are very low and your wrong claims at elementary level on these issues have the only result to generate a strong lack of credibility on the rest of your research work. This is your biggest problem, and you are the worst enemy of yourself. I wrote explicitly in the web which is my position on your wrong claims at elementary level in gravitation and cosmology to preserve my reputation and my career. If you do not understand general relativity and standard cosmology is not my guilty. You always claim the necessity of "freedom and democracy in science". Thus, it is my right to openly claim that, in gravitation and cosmology you are completely wrong at a very basic level. You should accept criticisms and also a serious scientific discussion rather than insulting myself and other people.

Best wishes.

^

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Documente 1 Rupile of all 126/128 vapage 10 of 29 PageID 363



#### FRANK STONE

08/06/2016 at 5:17 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40722)

Thank you, but I am not Santilli...anyway when your article is published, they will see that you disagree with Santilli and vice versa so they will know that not everybody agrees on some fundamental ideas. You must not be very busy if you have time to write such a long letter saying what you have said many times on this blog.



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

09/06/2016 at 10:13 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40734)

Yes, "Frank", you are not Santilli. And I am spider-man...

On one hand, you are correct, my long letter was a mere copy and past of my previous posts in this blog. Thus, I wrote it in a couple of minutes.

On the other hand, you are wrong. People will not see that I disagree with Santilli (i.e. you) and vice versa so they will know that not everybody agrees on some fundamental ideas. Science must be rigorous. If you claim that 2+2=5 and I claim that 2+2=4 instead, we are not disagreeing. Merely, you are wrong and I am correct. The same works for tensors and pseudo-tensors. A pseudo-tensor CANNOT be the source of the gravitational field, which is a true tensor. Again, claiming that "Hubble's law establishes that the cosmological redshift is the same for all galaxies having the same distance from Earth in all directions in space. Consequently, the conjectures on the expansion of the universe, the acceleration of the expansion and the big bang necessarily imply a return to the Middle Ages with Earth at the center of the universe" is a very elementary mistake, because in modern cosmology the universe is seen as a space-like hyper-surface having NO center. This is known at the popularizing level, if not a high school level. Again, claiming that the inertial mass is macroscopically different from the gravitational mass is a big mistake, because experiments shows that the inertial mass is equal to the gravitational mass with a precision of 10^-14. These are NOT disagreements between myself and Santilli (i.e. you). These are very elementary mistakes by Santilli (i.e. you) instead. If Santilli (i.e. you) did not study these issues is not my guilty.



#### PEPIJN

 $03/06/2016\ at\ 8:19\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40613)$ 

So nice, Ruggero and his wife Carla will both speak at 'Dreamers Day 2016': http://www.dreamersday.it/speakers-2016/ (http://www.dreamersday.it/speakers-2016/) Hope this doesn't end in a nightmare for the organizers \*\*

REPLY (HTTP://www.pepiinvanerp.nl/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40613#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE

04/06/2016 at 1:24 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40625)

There is also this:

 $http://www.flogen.org/sips2016/santilli.php \ (http://www.flogen.org/sips2016/santilli.php)\\$ 

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40625#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

04/06/2016 at 2:09 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40626)

Fascinating! That company looks like a one stop shop for the ambitious fringe scientist http://www.flogen.org/?spage=2 (http://www.flogen.org/?spage=2)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40626#RESPOND)



#### **COSMIN VISAN**

31/05/2016 at 6:46 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40552)

I did a quick check online and found he was a professor at Harvard and published a number of books, one of them being An isodual theory of matter... so it seems he has a number of degrees and is not prima facie, stupid. Now I wouldn't mind a more serious refutation of his views...

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40552#RESPOND)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Doctument 1888-1 Rupile of 08/28/18 vapage 11 of 29 PageID 888



#### PEPIIN

31/05/2016 at 8:38 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40554)

Almost all of his articles and books are self published, don't think it's worth the effort to analyse in detail all what is in those considering the quality of the stuff he is pushing the most. But you can find debunks of some of his ideas in the International Skeptics forum for instance http://www.internationalskeptics.com (http://www.internationalskeptics.com)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40554#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE (HTTP://EUSA-RIDDLED.BLOGSPOT.COM)

 $31/05/2016\ at\ 11:45\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40561)$ 

found he was a professor at Harvard

I have only seen evidence that Santilli was a post-doc in the Maths department there, with sponsorship from the US Department of Energy, having convinced the DoE that his unusual ideas on burning water might work out. In the absence of results, Harvard gave him the boot in 1981. Obviously they couldn't have evicted Santilli if he had been an actual tenured professor. However, if there is evidence that he was on the faculty, I am happy to be corrected.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40561#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA

 $01/06/2016\ at\ 5:28\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40581)$ 

This paper has been accepted for publication in International Journal of Theoretical Physics http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206 (http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206)

It corrects Mr. Santilli's elementary mistakes in gravitation and cosmology. Some of them can be corrected even by high school students...

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40581#RESPOND)



#### SMUT CLYDE (HTTP://EUSA-RIDDLED.BLOGSPOT.COM)

 $30/05/2016\ at\ 5:20\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40534)$ 

Your investigations inspired this homage:

http://eusa-riddled.blogspot.com/2016/05/anti-matter-black-orbs-climbing-up.html (http://eusa-riddled.blogspot.com/2016/05/anti-matter-black-orbs-climbing-up.html)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40534#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://WWW.WORLDSCIENTIFIC.COM/DOI/ABS/10.1142/S0218271809015904)

 $10/05/2016\ at\ 3:48\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40124)$ 

Mr. Santilli has a new alias, that is Mr. "Joseph Feldman", see this "Nobel nomination", hi hi hi hi http://nobelprizeweb.com/index.php/nominations-1 (http://nobelprizeweb.com/index.php/nominations-1)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40124#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

 $10/05/2016\ at\ 8:51\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-40128)$ 

Hilarious indeed! All the text that is not about Santilli seems to be copied from the Nobelprize website or Wikipedia.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40128#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://WWW.WORLDSCIENTIFIC.COM/DOI/ABS/10.1142/S0218271809015904)

12/05/2016 at 9:48 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40164)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Documente Programme 1 Rupile of any 20/18 vapage 12 of 29 PageID 360

Surely. The contact of Mr. "Joseph Feldman" is 3708 Evergreen Drive, Texarkana, AR. 71854. By inserting this in Google, one finds a Company of Website Design, see http://www.texarkanaweb.com/texarkana-website-hosting.html (http://www.texarkanaweb.com/texarkana-website-hosting.html). Thus, it is clear what happened. Mr. Santilli and/or his running dogs "Fleming" and "Anderson" paid the Company of Website Design for creating such a fake "Nobel nomination". Maybe that some ingenuous guy can think it is real...

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=40164#RESPOND)



#### CARL (HTTP://GOOGLE)

27/04/2016 at 3:35 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39877)

I had two pictures that look like theirs. They where taken in the eighties with a polaroid camera. They had the beads of yellow with the hump in the middle that reminded me of the shape of a mouse (rosary beads). This was in the dark after a outdoor mass on a New York city island. I also saw a picture develope from the same kind of camera it had solid yelloe blue and red lines across the picture.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39877#RESPOND)



#### GORDON HAMILTON

24/03/2016 at 7:57 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39377)

"Probably" I do not understand? and i do not see your argument. It looks like your a skeptic ,,, well trolling! Put a proper argument forward. Explain, what is being captured from his telescopes.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39377#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

25/03/2016 at 9:22 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39395)

It's probably random noise, dust and broken pixels on the CCD, it's no wonder with exposure times of 15 sec at ISO 1600 that you will see this stuff when you zoom in down to pixel levels. But you can read about that in a manual on astrophotography, the pictures in the articles don't show something extra-ordinary.

Other pictures clearly show that with the amateurish equipment Santilli uses, he isn't even able to hold the camera stable because of 'small fluctuations due to wind'. If he wants to impress scientists, he has to show something more convincing.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39395#RESPOND)



#### DANA BRIDENBAKER

23/03/2016 at 11:55 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39370)

Dang. I love when smart people argue

Even when they are wrong they can try to sound correct about something else.

Hell, you make people money for their families. You win.

Sorry Pepijn but if you don't make money it don't make sense...

 $REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39370\#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39370#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLII/?REPLYTOCOM=39370#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLII/?REPLYTOCOM=39370#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLII/?REPLYTOCOM=39370#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/THE-PLANERPR$ 



# PEPIJN

24/03/2016 at 6:19 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39375)

Argumentum ad crumenam (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Argumentum\_ad\_crumenam)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39375#RESPOND)



#### MARTIN

20/04/2016 at 6:25 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39816)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Document 188-1 Rupile of 189 Page 13 of 29 Page 10 864

Dang, I love it when not-so-smart people reply.

Even when they should know that they are wrong,

they try to sound correct about something.

Hell, they scam money from people's families. They are losers.

Sorry Dana, if you do not have knowledge you don't make sense.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39816#RESPOND)



#### ANDY ROUNDTREE (HTTP://NONE.COM)

16/03/2016 at 5:40 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39185)

Check out Harvard classes on isodual mathematics. That must be in on the joke

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39185#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

16/03/2016 at 6:11 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39186)

'Check out'!? Don't you have link for a recent course at Harvard? And I'm not interested in something from before 1980-something when Santilli was still in the neighborhood over there.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39186#RESPOND)



#### ADAM

15/03/2016 at 12:45 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39136)

To an intelligent lay person, your article isn't very convincing. I'm not saying I don't believe that the science done by Mr. Santilli and his company is poor. It very well could be, but your article does little to show it. Instead, you offer conjecture for why you outright dismiss the possibility of his telescope (which is presumably being used by someone). Additionally, your article reads very arrogantly like you dismiss what they have to say out of hand. Perhaps a better way is rational argument than name calling like "stupid," "mad professor," or "cunning scams."

But I'm sure from seeing above that you'll post a snarky comment about how I'm being paid. I'm not, nor do I know any of these people.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39136#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

15/03/2016 at 2:06 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39139)

If you have read the other article on my website on Santilli I linked to in this blog post, you'll probably understand why I will not bother to explain in more detail why this telescope is nonsense. I blocked 'Frank Stone' from further commenting, because I have good reasons to think, he/she is either Santilli himself, his wife Carla or someone real close to them and who is fully aware of their 'scientific' business and threatening e-mails which feature anti-semitic language. I will not allow that here on my own site.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39139#RESPOND)



#### MARTIN

20/04/2016 at 6:12 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39815)

Oh man, anti-matter light! Basic nonsense!

You were warned right at the beginning.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39815#RESPOND)



#### ROB S. (HTTPS://PLUS.GOOGLE.COM/115204575249914364311)

 $05/08/2017\ at\ 7:15\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-52666)$ 

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Doctumente 1888-1 Rupile of 08/20/18 vapage 14 of 29 PageID 888

Back in his hay-day Santilli was legit. Not so much now. After his Relativity BS and after I read "Apparent Detection via New Telescopes with Concave Lenses of Otherwise Invisible Terrestrial Entities" I was certain he'd busted a gasket. Pretty soon, I'm sure he'll start barking about a flat Farth.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=52666#RESPOND)



#### **JUSTIN ASAYA**

 $13/03/2016\ at\ 1:12\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-39067)$ 

The American Journal Of Modern Physics is not included on the Beals List link you provided. If you're going to discredit someone for lack of accuracy, please try to not be innacurate yourself...

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39067#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

13/03/2016 at 3:11 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39095)

It's a journal of the Science Publishing Group, which is on the list.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39095#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $07/02/2016\ at\ 10:22\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-38091)$ 

Notice that the Editor in Chief of CACAA (which is the Italian translation of shit) is Mr. Svetlin Georgiev, that is one of Mr. Santilli's running dogs

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=38091#RESPOND)



#### SUE IOHNSON

06/02/2016 at 9:53 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-38084)

Last year the Tampa Bay Times published an article (April 16, 2015) about an industrial explosion resulting in one death at Santilli's company, MagneGas. The article notes that the company had steadily been losing money; shares were so low NASDAQ had threatened to de-list the stock earlier in the year. Hence telescopes? Thunder Energies is just down the street from MagneGas in Tarpon Springs, Florida.

http://www.tampabay.com/news/publicsafety/breaking-explosion-at-tarpon-springs-gas-facility-leaves-one-injured/2225829 (http://www.tampabay.com/news/publicsafety/breaking-explosion-at-tarpon-springs-gas-facility-leaves-one-injured/2225829)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=38084#RESPOND)



#### FRANK STONE

 $14/03/2016\ at\ 3:33\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/\#comment-39120)$ 

What have the people on this blog done in their lives to contribute to society?

Magnegas has supported 30 – 50 families for the last 15 years...that is has created jobs requiring payroll, insurance, medical and retirement benefits, dignity of employment. Magnegas has injected million of dollars in the local economy...what have you done? Debunking and skepticism are appropriate activities. Insult and libel are not.

Do you know the fable of the fox and the grapes?

 $REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39120\#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39120\#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/04/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/REPLYTOCOM=39120#RESPOND) \\ REPLY (HTTP://WW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/REPLYTOCOM$ 



#### PEPIJN

14/03/2016 at 3:55 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-39121)

So 'Frank', can you tell us what your function is at Thunder Energies Corp.? Or at the other Santilli companies or phoney organisations?

Actually, never mind answering: you're using the same IP-address as 'Luca Petronio' which left all kind of anti-semitic ramblings on this site and the e-mail address was also in use by Carla Santilli and 'Pamela Fleming'.

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Documente Tree 1 Rupile 1 R

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/2016/02/THE-CONTINUING-STUPIDITY-OF-RUGGERO-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=39121#RESPOND)



#### WZRD1 (HTTPS://DISQUS.COM/WZRD1/)

29/05/2016 at 1:51 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-40519)

Having the logs removes all mysteries in life. 😌



#### FRANK STONE

19/07/2016 at 4:49 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-41409)

Just an example of the Santilli organizations that you call phony and the science that you laugh about and discredit by repeating "the continuing stupidity of Ruggero Santilli".

I am sure you will not publish this...

http://seekingalpha.com/news/3193992-magnegas-sees-positive-septic-wastewater-results? source=email\_rt\_mc\_readmore&app=1&uprof=45&dr=1#email\_link (http://seekingalpha.com/news/3193992-magnegas-sees-positive-septic-wastewater-results?source=email\_rt\_mc\_readmore&app=1&uprof=45&dr=1#email\_link)



#### PEPIJN

19/07/2016 at 9:03 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/#comment-41413)

Don't know what this has to do with the telescope or the Santilli organizations I've mentioned as 'phoney' in a comment. But it is good to see you consider this seekingalpha-website as a reliable source, so we can probably trust this article as well: http://seekingalpha.com/article/3768216-magnegas-strong-sell-opaque-offshore-entities-insider-enrichment-paid-stock-promotion-minus (http://seekingalpha.com/article/3768216-magnegas-strong-sell-opaque-offshore-entities-insider-enrichment-paid-stock-promotion-minus)

### LEAVE A REPLY

Connect with:

Comment

Powered by OneAll Social Login


Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked \*

Name \*
Email \*
Website

POST COMMENT

Cammaerts and Johansson Manage to 'Replicate' Danish Garden Cress Wi-Fi Experiment with even more Mistakes (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/01/cammaerts-and-johansson-manage-to-replicate-danish-garden-cress-wi-fi-experiment-with-even-more-mistakes/)

More Santilli Shenanigans ➤ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/08/santilli-shenanigans/)



## FEATURED POST

Homeopaths in Liberia: 'Mission Ebola' (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2014/10/homeopaths-in-liberia-mission-ebola/)



(http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2014/10/homeopaths-in-liberia-mission-ebola/)

#### POPULAR POSTS



Danish School Experiment with WiFi Routers and Garden Cress, Good Example of Bad Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2013/05/danish-school-experiment-with-wifi-routers-and-garden-cress-good-example-of-bad-science/)

25 May , 2013



Fake and Unethical Trial: Video Claims Miracle Mineral Solution Cures Malaria (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2013/05/fake-and-unethical-trial-video-claims-miracle-mineral-solution-cures-malaria/)

22 May , 2013



The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/) 06 Feb , 2016



Wim Hof's Cold Trickery (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/01/wim-hof-method/) 01 Jan , 2016

(http://w

http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Documents Rupike 1 Rupike 1



Pepijn on Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-

ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52747)

Thanks for the tip on the books, I'll add them to my reading list. Discussion on Collins work in general...



Aaron Vee on Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-

with-ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52744)

One of his co-experimenters and myself worked in the same field (crystal growth). This person (now deceased) told me -...



Pepijn on Finding JV Kadeisvili - or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-

ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52721)

I read some texts on his involvement with these experiments in which they tested children to see whether they would...



Aaron Vee on Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-

with-ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52713)

You do realize, I hope, that the principal author of that paper is basically anti-science and pro-paranormal. Back in the...



Rob S. on The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/comment-

page-1/#comment-52666)

Back in his hay-day Santilli was legit. Not so much now. After his Relativity BS and after I read "Apparent...

#### LATEST TWEETS

OK, ook @MarleenJG (https://twitter.com/intent/user? user\_id=37895048) gelooft, net als @janrotmans (https://twitter.com/intent/user? user\_id=251248123) , niets van de uitspraken

Follow @pjvanerp

#### **CATEGORIES**

Pseudo Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/pseudo-science/)	28
Quackery (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/quackery/)	19
Kloptdatwel (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/kloptdatwel/)	12
Bad Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/bad-science/)	12
Skepticism (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/skepticism/)	12
Chess (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/chess/)	6
Fact-checking (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/fact-checking/)	6
Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/science/)	4

#### **META**

Log in (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/wordpress/wp-login.php)

Entries RSS (Really Simple Syndication) (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/feed/)

Comments RSS (Really Simple Syndication) (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/comments/feed/)

WordPress.org (https://wordpress.org/)

f (htt G+ ps:// (htt ww ps:// w.fa (htt plus. ceb ps:// goo ook. twitt gle.c com er.c om/ /pep om/ +Pe ijn.v pjva pijn aner nerp van p.3) Erp)

FACEBOOK (HTTPS://WWW.FACEBOOK.COM/PEPIJN.VANERP.3)

TWITTER (HTTPS://TWITTER.COM/PJVANERP)


GOOGLE+ (HTTPS://PLUS.GOOGLE.COM/+PEPIJNVANERP)

 $@ \ Copyright \ 2012-2016 \ Pepijn \ van \ Erp \ | \ Theme \ by \ Colorlib \ (http://colorlib.com/) \ Powered \ by \ WordPress \ (http://wordpress.org/) \ Powered \$ 

<sup>3/21/201</sup> Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP <sup>he</sup> Portuin କ୍ୟେନ୍ତ ଅଞ୍ଜମ ସମ୍ପର୍ଜ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତ ହେଉ ଏକ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ ହେଉ PageID <b>ସେଖ</b>	

8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAPhe Documente 1 Rupiled 1 Rupiled 1 1 Phage 20 of 29 Page ID 898

# **EXHIBIT B**



# Finding JV Kadeisvili - or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli

Ruggero Santilli is a fascinating person. Once a scientist with an apparent normal career, now a *fringe* scientist running his own 'scientific' institute, publisher of two journals and founder of a dubious company which sells gas for welding purposes. He seems to have completely turned his backed on regular science, but at the same time wants to hold up the appearance of a serious scientist and tries to get some of his articles published in regular journals.

When one of those was debunked, he asked one of the scientists of his *Institute for Basic Research*, Jerdsey Vladimir Kadeisvili, to write a defense. This was published as well.

Kadeisvili, however, is just an alias of Santilli, as will get clear when you read on. But I must warn you: according to Kadeisvili/Santilli I'm 'a threat to America and mankind.'

# HHO and magnecules in the International Journal of Hydrogen Energy

While I was doing research for an article on cars which 'run on water', I encountered more than one reference to the research of Ruggero Santilli. It was in fact a tweet by Diederik Samsom (https://twitter.com/diederiksamsom/status/157788856089968640) (now leader of the Labour Party in the Netherlands) that drew my attention to Santilli. I had never heard of him before, but now found out that Santilli already was widely known as a fringe scientist (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fringe\_science). In 2006 Santilli published an article in the *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* (IJHE): "



(http://www.pepijnvan erp.nl/wordpress/wpcontent/uploads/2013/ 03/Santilli2.png)

Ruggero Maria Santilli

(http://blog.everydayscientist.com/wp-content/uploads/2006\_Santilli\_IntJofHydrogenEnergy31\_1113\_CombustibleFormWater3.pdf)*A new gaseous and combustible form of water* (http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S036031990200054X)" (http://blog.everydayscientist.com/wp-content/uploads/2006\_Santilli\_IntJofHydrogenEnergy31\_1113\_CombustibleFormWater3.pdf). In this article Santilli claims to have found a new gas, HHO, which he produces using a (patented) apparatus from distilled water. It's different from the stochiometric mixture of H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, you would expect to get from the process which looks like electrolysis. Santilli substantiates his claims by presenting all kinds of measurements, which results cannot be explained by assuming it to be a well known gas (or mixture). His explanation is revolutionary: there must be another kind af atomic bond and his HHO-gas is in fact the first of a family of new molecules, Magnecules. HHO, Santilli explains should be written as (H x H) – O, where '' is the conventional molecular bond, and 'x' is the new 'magnecular' bond.

# Comments and rebuttal of comments

In the same journal (IJHE) professor J.M. Calo (Brown University, Providence, USA) wrote some comments on Santilli's claims. Although the article is modestly titled *Comments on"A new gaseous and combustible form of water," by R.M.Santilli (http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319906005581)*, it comes down to a complete debunk. Almost no paragraph in Santilli's article is without error or complete misunderstanding of the measurements involved. Calo concludes:

The author provides absolutely no scientific evidence that supports the existence of a new form of matter called "HHO gas." From the data presented, the gaseous product from the electrolyzer behaves in the same manner as would be expected of a mixture of hydrogen, oxygen, and watervapor.

The most important anomalies which Santilli presents, can be easily explained if you don't forget that while doing the electrolysis as he does, you also wil get some watervapor in the resulting mixture of gasses. Santilli does not give any thought to this.

Now things start to get even more interesting. In reaction to this debunking article by Calo came two articles defending Santilli: one by Irish scientist Martin O. Cloonan (which I will not discuss here in detail, but I mention something about it in the comments (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-915342856) below this article) and one by J.V. Kadeisvili, co-worker of Santilli in his *Institute of Basic Research* (IBR). Kadeisvili's article is titled: *Rebuttal of J.M. Calo's comments on R.M. Santilli's HHO paper* (http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319907006350).

Rebuttal of J.M. Calo's comments on R.M. Santilli's HHO paper

J.V. Kadeisvili

Institute for Basic Research, P.O. Box 1577, Palm Harbor, FL 34682, USA

(http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Kadeisvili-rebuttal-of-Calo.jpg)

It's very peculiar. I doesn't actually contain any scientific argument against Calo's comments. Main points of Kadeisvili are that all measurements were acknowledged by the labs performing those on request by Santilli. 'Under the pains and penalties of perjury, the Director of the blabla Laboratory of blabla, released a signed statement' is the kind of text you might expect in a court case, not in a scientific article. He concludes that Calo can't be taken seriously until he has attempted to replicate Santilli's experiments. A weird view on science. He who makes the most bizarre claims should be presenting convincing evidence before those claims can be accepted:

An extraordinary claim requires extraordinary proof.

#### Who is Kadeisvili?

I became a bit more interested in Kadeisvili, when I read the remarkable story of Brazilian professor Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr.: "A sad story" (http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/A%20SAD%20STORY.pdf), in which he describes his cooperation with Santilli and how it ended. Rodrigues mentions that he suspected that several people around Santilli are in fact aliases of Santilli himself (he mentions dr. George F. Weiss, Editorial Manager IBR). Now Rodrigues himself is involved in some rather obscure business (http://www.sott.net/article/244011-Corruption-in-Science-Francesco-Fucilla-and-the-Telesio-Galilei-Academy-of-Science#), so one could argue that something else could be behind this accusation.

But with respect to Kadeisvili, I had the same feeling. His style looked so similar to writings of Santilli and I could not find any biographical information on him. Almost all of his publications are in journals controlled by Santilli. Santilli states that hundreds of scientists are connected to his IBR, but when I looked with Google Street View at the address (150 Rainville Road,. Tarpon Springs, FL 34689 (https://maps.google.nl/maps? q=150+Rainville+Road,.+Tarpon+Springs,+FL+34689&ie=UTF-

8&hq=&hnear=0x88c28da371421a67:0xd0f532ab73c35768,150+Rainville+Rd,+Tarpon+Springs,+FL+34689,+USA&gl=nl&ei=2f9GUZftMYypOs3rgZgD&ved=0CD IQ8gEwAA)), it surely does not look like a scientific institute.

From information on one of the websites of Santilli it becomes clear that more people suspect that the IBR is in fact a one man show. In an attempt to tackle some of these critical sounds, Santilli admits that at least one person who works in the societies that protect his work, is using a pseudonym (look for 'William Pound' in http://www.scientificethics.org/Santilli-ethical-decay.html (http://www.scientificethics.org/Santilli-ethical-decay.html)). Playing around with fantasies is probably not illegal, but publishing in a scientific journal under a false name (defending an article of your own) is simply scientific fraud. But how do you prove such a thing?

#### Mailing with Kadeisvili/Santilli

I send e-mails to the addresses of the IBR (http://www.i-b-r.org/ir00009.htm) and the Santilli Foundation (http://www.santilli-foundation.org/) in which I kindly asked for some background information on Kadeisvili, his curriculum vitae and possibly a photograph, mentioning that I was writing an article on different theories on HHO-gas. The first reaction of dr. George F. Weiss set the tone for the following communications:

Subject: Re: request for information about Prof. Kadeisvili

Dear "Mr." Pepijn van Erp,

We would gladly cooperate not only by putting you in touch with Professor Kadeisvili (who is interested in direct exchanges with you), but also to provide you samples of similar gases (at no cost) for measurements before you throw any mumbo-jambo conceptual gyration, as well as copies of ongoing scientific and industrial developments in the field that are now receiving millions of \$\$\$ invested from the industry (see attachment)!!! while the field is completely ignored in academia!!! to their evident peril!!!

However, before doing so, we want to know who are you, perhaps by providing us your CV. We also request the email of Mister Calo (mister because he wrote a note of criticism on Prof. Santilli HHO paper with zero technical knowledge in the field – something serious scholars certainly do not do!) so that he can receive copies of the correspondence since we do not do thin gs in the back.

Additionally, we want to stress that your evident suppression of scientific democracy did hit me in the eye, by carefully calling Cale "Prof." while calling the discoverer of the new species, Prof. Santilli, as

"Mr.", and reaching the much offensive absence of any title for Martin Clonan, a real Professor in Ireland.

Richard Anderson, Trustee of The R. M. Santilli Foundation, was even a bit more upset by my inappropriate addressing of Santilli:

Subject: Re: request for information about Prof. Kadeisvili

Prof. calo and Mr. Santilli??? You are a wako

I kept on asking for the cv of Kadeisvili or at least the names of the universities where he studied and got his PhD. And finally I got an e-mail from Kadeisvili himself!

Subject: Erp's serious cience or blabling??

Mr. Erp, you want to write an article on Prof. Santilli's new chemical species of magnecules?? Come on !! You do not have the knowledge. In the event you and mister Calo had a minimum of science in mind you would repeat the measurements first before blabbling. At any rate, I provide it below in the event you are not scamming.

ΥT

JVKadesivbii

Note that he doesn't seem to be able to spell his own name correctly. To make a long story short: the correspondence went on for several e-mails, but no cv of Kadeisvili was ever provided. Things got more nasty after I stated that I had to assume that Kadeisvili does not exist, because they couldn't answer my simple questions. In the end I received an e-mail by Richard Cox, member International Committee on Scientific Ethics and Accountability (http://www.scientificethics.org/). It starts as follows:

Subject: The returned defecations

Dirty man,

Prof. Kadeisvili provide you quite nicely various scientific information and references on Prof. Santilli's magnecules and their industrial applications, and also invited you to be part of their forthcoming course on hadronic mechanics, and you answer with this filth below! You are a dirty filthy puking man in great need of some legal fixing for which our Committee has been set forth and fully funded, that's what we do, fixing human filth in science, and here is part of the action going on to fix you.

Our specialized Investigative Agency on scientific filth that includes former CIA operatives has already "bugged" your computer and is now collecting info to identify your real name, affiliation and ethnic connotation.

Wow! And this goes on for a while. Here I will only give the last sentence, which is a gem as well:

As Professor Kadeisvili put it with gentle language very inappropriate for your filth "you are a threat to America and mankind."

# 8/21/201 Casse & 1177-cox O117997-XXWC-WXXP1V Boleicuin en (1924-12) ith Filed HOLD 2011 Filed

You can read the entire correspondence in Mailing with Ruggero Santilli – looking for Prof JV Kadeisvili – April-May 2012 (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Mailing-with-Ruggero-Santilli-looking-for-Prof-JV-Kadeisvili-April-May-2012.pdf) (pdf-file). Later I noticed that two of the 'people' mailing me (George Weiss and Richard Andersson), where using the same computer. The headers of the mails give:

Received: from 65.35.151.112 (HELO Ruggero-Santillis-MacBook-Pro.local)

#### Editor and Founding Editor in Chief of IJHE – family business?

After this experience it was clear to me: Kadeisvili does not exist and is just an alias of Ruggero Santilli. Now what? I had already sent an email to the Editor in Chief of IJHE, Emre Veziroglu, before my correspondence with Santilli/Kadeisvili, to ask if he had any information on Kadeisvili. He had nothing that could help me. After the experience described above, I wrote to him again about my suspicions, without providing the nasty details of the correspondence. I did learn however that *Founding Editor in Chief* of IJHE, is professor T. Nejat Veziroglu, father of Emre. In 2008 he received the *Santilli – Galilei Academy Award* (http://www.telesio-galilei.com/tg/index.php/academy-award-2008) as can also be seen in the following clip of the ceremony (from 3:00):



The Santilli – Galilei Academy (SGA) doesn't exist any more; a copy of its website (http://blog.lege.net/content/santilli-galilei\_com\_\_board.html) can still be found and mentions Nejat Veziroglu as a 'member'. The SGA's successor seems to be the *Telesio-Galilei Academy (http://telesio-galilei.com/tg/)*, an association (still) full of fringe scientists. They probably broke up with Santilli after the problems Rodrigues had with him as mentioned before. Emre Veziroglu told me his father had received an invitation by Santilli to visit the IBR, but had never had the opportunity to go there.

All this took place in April-May 2012. After that I didn't pay much attention to Santilli's actions until a thread on the forum of the Skeptics Society about Magnegas was revived: **MagneGas, Anyone? (http://www.skepticforum.com/viewtopic.php?f=88&t=13129#p198978)** It all had become a bit more clear to me how important it is for Santilli and Magnegas to keep up the appearance of a serious scientific researcher.

### Santilli's science, business and other issues

The Magnegas company is selling gas mainly for welding purposes. They claim it's a gas that contains some of Santilli's magnecular HHO-gas [see update]. But in reality it is just another syngas. All the official documents, like certifications, confirm this. Another claim is that Magnegas is a 'green' gas, it can be made out of liquid waste. From a recent press release (http://ir.stockpr.com/magnegas/company-news/detail/1294/magnegas-presents-submerged-plasma-arctechnological-advancements-at-georgia-state-capitol):

TAMPA, Fla., March 18, 2013 /PRNewswire/ — MagneGas Corporation ("MagneGas" or the "Company") (NASDAQ: MNGA), a global gasification technology Company that safely converts liquid waste into a hydrogen-based metal working fuel and a clean natural gas alternative, presented its *patented liquid waste to energy solutions* to the House and Senate Science and Technology Committee at the Georgia State Capitol.

If you look carefully at the bottom of these press releases, however, you'll notice the following statement:

The Company is currently using new ethylene glycol to produce fuel until proper permits to process used liquid waste have been obtained.

# 8/21/201 Casse & 1177-cox -0117.997-XXWC-WXXP-1/ Bodeion nent (\$24/201 Casse & 1177-cox -0117.997-XXWC-WX-1/ Bodeion nent (\$

They never got a permit for using liquid waste, probably never will get one and I wonder if they ever really tried. Magnegas' main business doesn't seem to be selling gas but attracting small investors, who fall for the promise of Magnegas being a new miracle gas. That's why it's so important for Santilli to keep up the appearance of a real scientist.

The board of the company is filled with relatives. His son is now CEO, his daughter in law CFO and they all pay themselves good salaries. Meanwhile the company is making losses which run in the millions since start-up. It looks like a pyramid scheme (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pyramid\_scheme). There are have been many similar business schemes like this one in the past and in other countries.

Santilli's other oddities include nominating himself for Nobel prizes many times. Silly hobby, because the Nobelprize.org is quite clear that this is not the way it works: it's by invitation only (http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel\_prizes/physics/nomination/). Another more evil side of Santilli is his slanderous attack on a real Nobel prize laureate, Steven Weinberg. Santilli holds him responsible for getting him kicked out of Harvard in 1981. On the website scientificethics.org, (http://www.scientificethics.org) another one of Santilli's fake organisations, there is a sinister piece (http://archive.is/6z3lt) [archived link] about a letter which supposedly goes around in Arabic countries concerning a conspiracy of Jewish scientists including Weinberg. Santilli (actually his alias Richard Cox, which send me the nicest e-mail quoted above) claims to have found this on the Discussion page of Weinbergs Wikipedia page. It very much looks like a foul hoax in the style of The Protocol of the Elders of Zion (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The\_Protocols\_of\_the\_Elders\_of\_Zion) to me.

## New article by Kadeisvili in IJHE?

In the thread on the Skeptics Society forum a staunch defender of Santilli's ideas (posting under nick 'isodual') pointed to (http://www.skepticforum.com/viewtopic.php?f=88&t=13129&start=200#p322068) a webpage (http://magnegas.com/hyfuels) on the Magnegas website for some lab results concerning Magnegas. But it also showed a new article by Kadeisvili, in press at IJHE! It has been accepted on Feb. 2nd 2013 and is available online

(http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319913003819) since March 6<sup>th</sup> 2013.

That's just four days after I had send another e-mail to Emre Veziroglu in which I urged him to get a good reference on Kadeisvili this time, before publishing this article. To substantiate my claims I had given him some excerpts of my correspondence with Santilli 'cum suis', similar to the ones above.

After a reminder a week later, I got a reply (March 20<sup>th</sup>): they had checked the IBR's website and it mentions Kadeisvili as Scientific Coordinator (http://www.i-b-r.org/ir00009.htm). Of course I replied that it's a bit naive to even assume anything like this as credible evidence. If Santilli doesn't mind to publish articles under another name, he won't be troubled mentioning the same name as a co-worker on his own website.

#### **Next step: Contacting Elsevier**

As I didn't have any confidence in Veziroglu doing a real investigation, I tried to find out if something could be done via the publisher of IJHE: Elsevier. On the Elsevier website a lot can be found about publishing ethics (http://www.elsevier.com/about/publishing-guidelines/publishing-ethics), but I found it impossible to find any information on what to do in case the Editor in Chief of the Journal is not willing to cooperate. So I wrote an e-mail to one of the Media Contacts of Elsevier, who I thought would be most appropriate, just asking what could be done and whom I could contact within the Elsevier organization with this problem (no names given, just an outline of the situation). No response after a week, so I sent a reminder. And another reminder after another week to the general newsroom address. And again. Never got a reply.

# International Journal of Hydrogen Energy National work internal 2019 Experimental confirmations of the flew chemical species of Sastiff Magnethydrogen 2 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 4, passed 2 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 4, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 5, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 4 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 to assemble 6, passed 3 suppl 4 8 to assemble 6, passed 4 suppl 4 suppl 4 to assemble 6, passed 4 suppl 4 suppl 4 suppl 4 to assemble 6, passed 4 suppl 4 sup

rdpress/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Scien ceDirect.com-International-Journal-of-Hydrogen-Energy-Experimental-confirmations-of-the-new-chemical-species-of-Santilli-MagneHydrogen.png)

Latest article by Kadeisvili aka Santilli

#### Links

Full texts of the articles can be found on the websites of Santilli and on other sites:

- Ruggero Maria Santilli (2006). "A new gaseous and combustible form of water (Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2006: 31(9), 1113–1128)"
   (http://blog.everydayscientist.com/wp-content/uploads/2006\_Santilli\_IntJofHydrogenEnergy31\_1113\_CombustibleFormWater3.pdf)(courtesy copy). International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 31 (9): 1113–1128.
- J. M. Calo (November 3, 2006). "Comments on "A new gaseous and combustible form of water" by R.M. Santilli (Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2006: 31(9), 1113–1128)" (http://www.scientificethics.org/Calo.pdf) (courtesy copy). *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **32** (9): 1309–1312. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital\_object\_identifier)
- J.V. Kadeisvili (2008). "Rebuttal of J.M. Calo's comments on R.M. Santilli's HHO paper" (http://www.scientificethics.org/Kadeisvili.pdf)(courtesy copy). International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 33 (2): 918–921.
- Y. Yang, J.V. Kadeisvili, S. Marton (2013). "Experimental confirmations of the new chemical species of Santilli MagneHydrogen (http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319913003819)", International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Available online 6 March 2013. (full text (http://www.santilli-foundation.org/docs/MagneHydrogen-2012.pdf))

I've written (in Dutch) about Ruggero Santilli and the Telesio-Galilei Foundation on Kloptdatwel.nl:

- De fantastische wetenschap van Ruggero Santilli (http://kloptdatwel.nl/2012/01/30/de-fantastische-wetenschap-van-ruggero-santilli/)
- Pseudowetenschap als dekmantel? (http://kloptdatwel.nl/2012/04/17/pseudowetenschap-als-dekmantel/)
- Zaken doen met Francesco Fucilla (http://kloptdatwel.nl/2012/04/20/zaken-doen-met-francesco-fucilla/)

There's a lot of interesting material in this thread on the Skeptics Society Forum: MagneGas, Anyone? (http://www.skepticforum.com/viewtopic.php? f=88&t=13129#p198978)

Published on May 30<sup>th</sup> 2013

#### Update 17-1-2014

On the website of the IBR something which is supposed to look like a cv of Kadeisvili (http://www.donotlink.com/c0c) (pdf) can now be found, dated December 2013. Unfortunately it's just a list of publications. Looking for the interesting information you'll only find: "(personal curriculum provided on request)". Someone could give it a try ...

#### Update 18-2-2014

The cv on the IBR website (http://www.i-b-r.org/J-v-Kadeisvili-CV-01-25-14.pdf) has been changed again, it now states that Kadeisvili lived from January 9<sup>th</sup> 1960 until January 16<sup>th</sup> 2014. So we are supposed to believe that dear old Jerdsay passed away the day before my previous update (pdf creation date Feb. 3 2014).

## Update 1-11-2014

Santilli is officially not longer involved with the company. He stepped down as Chief Scientific Officer and Chairman of the board in 2013. Since then references to the claimed 'special' nature of Magnegas (that it contains magnecular substances) are nowhere to be found on the website (althought it was never that much highlighted before either, I think). The company does still mention the *anomalous measurement of the flame temperature of MagneGas conducted by the Institute for UltraFast Spectroscopy and Laser of the City College of New York,* with a broken link however. The report of that measurement is here (pdf) (http://www.magnegas.com/docs/MG-Flame-report.pdf), and if you ask me, I would indeed call this an *anomalous measurement* and not *a measurement of an anomalous subtstance*. It still doesn't look like a financially solid company to me and they seem to be struggling to keep the MNGA stock listed on the NASDAQ, but I am not an expert on stockmarket operations.

#### Update 25-8-2016

Santilli has complained about things in this article that he sees as inaccurate. He has done so by sending me a letter via his attorney (see 'More Santilli Shenanigans (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/08/santilli-shenanigans/)') but strangely enough this complaint doesn't mention a word about the main point of this article, that he committed scientific fraud.

See also: The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/)' a blog on the Santilli telescope (Feb. 2016)

# 89 thoughts on "Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli"



#### INTERESTED READER

 $26/04/2017 \ at \ 8:37 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-50473)$ 

Hi Pepijni,

I came over this site while doing a search on Waldyr Rodrigues, who died in the beginning of the month. He was one of the greatest Brazilian mathematical physicists from all time. I only know him from his reputation, having only met him once in person in 1986.

I would like to help you rectify one of your statements about him in your article. You mentioned at a certain point:

"Now Rodrigues himself is involved in some rather obscure business, so one could argue that something else could be behind this accusation.", and linked to the following article: http://www.sott.net/article/244011-Corruption-in-Science-Francesco-Fucilla-and-the-Telesio-Galilei-Academy-of-Science# (http://www.sott.net/article/244011-Corruption-in-Science-Francesco-Fucilla-and-the-Telesio-Galilei-Academy-of-Science#). as evidence.

I suppose you referenced this issue and the article to try and provide a balanced overall view, and I am pretty sure you did not want to unwittingly use any ad hominem arguments, since the rest of your article is fairly balanced. Unfortunately you ended up tarnishing Waldyr's reputation based on an "alternate-reality" article, or as people are saying more clearly in the overal media, "fake news" article. It took me just a 30 minutes of Google searching to find it out.

First, I don't need to make any ad hominem arguments about the authors of the report you quoted, I just need to highlight their own self-described credentials at the bottom of the article:

"Joe Quinn is the co-author of 9/11: The Ultimate Truth (with Laura Knight-Jadczyk, 2006) and Manufactured Terror: The Boston Marathon Bombings, Sandy Hook, Aurora Shooting and Other False Flag Terror Attacks (with Niall Bradley, 2014), and the host of Sott.net's The Sott Report Videos and co-host of the 'Behind the Headlines' radio show on the Sott Radio Network.

An established web-based essayist and print author, Quinn has been writing incisive editorials for Sott.net for over 10 years. His articles have appeared on many alternative news sites and he has been interviewed on several internet radio shows and has also appeared on Iranian Press TV. His articles can also be found on his personal blog JoeQuinn.net."

and

"Niall Bradley has a background in political science and media consulting, and has been an editor and contributing writer at SOTT.net for 8 years. His articles are cross-posted on his personal blog, NiallBradley.net. Niall is co-host of the 'Behind the Headlines' radio show on the Sott Radio Network and co-authored Manufactured Terror: The Boston Marathon Bombings, Sandy Hook, Aurora Shooting and Other False-Flag Terror Attacks with Joe Quinn."

In the USA those two authors are considered part of the "9/11 conspiration theorists" community. They are also highlighted as authors in Cassiwiki, owned by Cassiopeia (the same religious organization that Waldyr mentions in his funny report on how he got involved with the founders: http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/SSSWIC140412)):

# 8/21/201 Casse 8 1177-cox 0117-977-XXW C-HWAYP IV Bode britten tyeld 120 ith Filedel 1018 229 ill 27 e Pragge 28 coff 459 Pragge 10 38649

 $https://thecasswiki.net/index.php?title=Manufactured\_Terror (https://thecasswiki.net/index.php?title=Manufactured\_Terror) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php?title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth (https://thecasswiki.net/index.php?title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php?title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php.title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php.title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php.title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php.title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php.title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswiki.net/index.php.title=9/11:\_The\_Ultimate\_Truth) \\ https://thecasswik$ 

As for Francesco Fucilla, without having been there, I can only suspect that he is probably an affable guy who was first duped by Santilli into naming the original "Santilli-Galilei" organization after him (I have noticed in some people from Europe and Latin America this reverence for those that live in the USA, "the center of the academic world"). However it seems that after Waldyr got in touch with Fucilla (described in the "Sad Story" doc you mentioned), the organization was renamed to "Telesio-Galilei" that same year, but by then the original honor "medal" had already been given. Notice that besides Santili, the 2008 prizes include Evans, which Waldyr also warned Fucilla about (according to "Sad story" doc – also see the following on the work of Evans: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Einstein%E2%80%93Cartan%E2%80%93Evans\_theory (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Einstein%E2%80%93Evans\_theory)). I suppose that after having made those two crucial mistakes in the first year, Fucilla decided then later to ask Waldyr to help lead the org.

So please update the article taking into account this information. As for my contact information, I do not want to give it because I do not want to be contacted by the crackpots that went after Waldyr and Fucilla (from the article you mentioned), I also live in the USA. Please just judge the logic of my post, and publish it if you agree with it.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-IERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=50473#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

26/04/2017 at 9:32 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-50476)

I'm well aware of the nature of the SOTT website, but this particular article is pretty well researched by Quinn and Bradley, I think. I followed the discussions on the forum on this matter and checked the sources they gave. Also I had contact with a Dutch business man who was in the boards of one of the firms set up by Fucilla. You can read about Fucilla more in the Dutch blog posts I linked to in the text.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=50476#RESPOND)



#### INTERESTED READER

28/04/2017 at 7:48 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-50500)

Hi Pepjin,

After your reply, I decided to I re-read the SOTT article up close. Again, what is evident is its bias. It supposedly is about "Corruption in Science" but instead of focusing on using sound logical arguments about how academic science research is conducted, it primarily goes on a tangent in detail about bad deals that Fucilla, family and friends are involved, and therefore TGA is bad.

This is well known as Association Fallacy (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Association\_fallacy (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Association\_fallacy) – this reference is not for you as you are most likely aware of logical fallacies given your background as a writer, but for the casual reader). This SOTT article uses "guilt by association" to try to prove its points by appealing to an emotional connection, but its arguments do not provide any hard evidence on TGA, it just states that "Fucilla has done bad things, ergo so is all that Fucilla has touched, including TGA". It is almost a textbook example of guilt-by-association. See this final excerpt:

"TGA President Mr Jeremy Dunning-Davies is right: we need openness and truth in science. But what kind of openness would anyone want from an organisation like the Telesio Galilei Academy of Sciences when their 'founding father', Francesco Fucilla, writes public messages calling for other scientists to be "dissected on a table like animals"? What kind of truth can anyone expect from organisations like the Telesio Galilei Academy of Sciences when their founding father and several board members are involved in what appear to be multiple businesses of questionable legitimacy and legality?

Our brief encounter with the TGA, Francesco Fucilla et al have made it clear to us that, as with all such individuals and their operations, the Telesio-Galilei Academy of Sciences is simply not what it presents itself to be."

However, the article also makes a point about the other type of Association Fallacy: Honor by Association, and that was useful to understand this situation.

"Indeed, based on our own personal experience with Francesco Fucilla and our research into his background, the establishment of the 'Telesio Galilei Academy of Science' by Fucilla seems to be an effort to associate as many real scientists as possible with Fucilla and his companies in order to lend scientific legitimacy to what is otherwise very 'fringe' (some might say crackpot), scientific theories and products."

Let me propose an "alternative view" that is valid, from a logical perspective, as much as the one from the authors. Assuming that all circumstantial evidence provided (which you are saying is well-researched) on business dealings by Fucilla and friends, and taking into account Napoleon's saying "never ascribe to malice, what can be plainly explained by incompetence" (and no, this saying is not originally by Hanlon), you could say that at the least what might have happened is that Fucilla's genius created a "mind field distortion" that led family and friends into accepting the infallibility of "the founding father" genius, including those hired as managers (that includes his son), who being professionals in the financial sector, came up with the idea of capitalizing on Fucilla's ideas and, to anoint legitimacy on their businesses, convinced him and friends to act as "honorary executives".

# 8/21/201 Casse & 1177-cox 0117997-XXW C-RWAYP IV Boleigninent (1820-120 ith Filedellos) 229/1137 e Pragge 29 coff 459 Pragge ID 3668

In these honorary positions, you don't have to do much – sort of a country club membership – other than showing up, sip wine and dine, and here and there evaluate this and that technical specification, and at the end get some nice little justified payback for the work you did of letting your god-like light shine on those mortals that surround you. At the least it seems that Fucilla was irresponsible for being naïve and lacking self-criticism in dealing with his own ideas and other people, and for effectively becoming a strawperson ("testa-de-ferro") while accepting bad financial advice from those he trusted.

I saw that Waldyr was listed in the companies mentioned (I looked up each reference using archive.org), twice as chairman and as a director in another, and my guess is that he fell into this same trap of honor by association as well. The article talks about such a scenario:

"If this was just a case of wealthy philanthropists throwing money at scientists in hopes of realising life-long dreams (and maybe making a buck or two along the way), then there would be nothing to see here. It would just be business as usual, as much as it would just be 'science as usual'."

Which is what I think Waldyr thought he was getting into, something that is business as usual in the USA, and that in Brazil is impossible: to have full, comfortable support for research, and also be involved with business, and be recognized, along the way, for your god-like status by a generous philanthropist. Maybe the latter was even more important than whatever potential financial gain, given his status in the best university in Brazil.

As for Fucilla as a mastermind and a genius: there is no doubt he is a polymath ("Geophysicist, Author, Philosopher, Inventor, Oil Entrepreneur, Businessman, Film Producer"). These self-attributed qualifications make him a good and affable conversationalist, not someone who knows all science in depth, other than Geophysics. However, after seeing this site (http://www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com/ (http://www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com/)) and what is in there, I have to think that he is no mastermind at all: in his delusions of grandeur, he allowed himself to become a naïve believer of crackpots such as Santili and Evans.

One paragraph in this doc (http://www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com/ffsatires\_05-08-

12/Statement\_By\_Prof\_of\_Profs/THE%20REASONS%20FOR%20THE%20ATTACKS%20BY%20MR%20MYRON%20EVANS%20AGAINST%20PROF%2
0FRANCESCO%20FUCILLA.pdf (http://www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com/ffsatires 05-08-

12/Statement\_By\_Prof\_of\_Profs/THE%20REASONS%20FOR%20THE%20ATTACKS%20BY%20MR%20MYRON%20EVANS%20AGAINST%20PROF%2
0FRANCESCO%20FUCILLA.pdf)) says volumes about how he was also into the fallacy of association by honor. Fucilla gets very passionate in both his denigration on perceived lack of knowledge, and in his appreciation for those he thinks deserve praise:

"Going back to Mr Evans and AIAS, In 2009 I proposed to appoint Prof Rodrigues as Co-chairman of Telesio Galilei in order to partially repair the damage inflicted upon

the Telesio Galilei Academy by the association to AIAS. The idea was to remove Mr Evans slowly, to finally demote him to just Full Member status.

Mr Evans responded violently to my proposal, by attacking Steriwave Plc and not Prof Rodrigues for he had no defence against the accusations made by Rodrigues for Rodrigues is a GURU in mathematics and Physics, in fact one of the leading scientists of our times!!"

Very informal, to say the least, for a business person, and improper for a scientist and intellectual: there is no doubt that Fucilla has a big mouth. I think that even Waldyr Rodrigues would not condone in such adulation from him, or this excessive denigration of Evans (after all Waldyr's academic criticism of him was never ad hominem, but on his ideas, based on mathematical physics and logic). All this excessive "passion" cannot be normal.

Fucilla's site (http://www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com (http://www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com)) is so ugly and unbecoming that I had to use whois (https://whois.icann.org/en/lookup?name=www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com (https://whois.icann.org/en/lookup?name=www.francesco-fucilla-satires.com)) to find out whether it was his or actually from someone attacking him, I just could not tell at a first glance. It is his, so Fucilla's ridicule is self-inflicted. He needs to hire a professional web presence manager, and maybe other type of professionals on how to sort out the reputation mess that his emotional interventions have caused.

Maybe Fucilla saw himself as a new Medici, only to be betrayed by his own megalomania. On the other hand, maybe Fucilla was the mastermind who then attracted the other scientists, who knows? My gut feeling is the former, given his displayed naïveté, and the bad track record in history of geniuses investing money.

As for Waldyr and TGA, I do not see Fucilla's influence as big of a deal other than the "honor by association" that is customary in academics. You are informed of a prize, you meet over a few days with seemingly knowledgeable, affable people, you receive a medal, maybe a small monetary reward and a nice 1-liner on your résumé that helps a little for you to be one step ahead of others when going after grant money at your university. Politics in this way is the nature of all prizes of this sort, including the Nobel Prize. At the end, if such prize-awarding organization is not a self-correcting system where the chaff is weeded out based on merit, it will not attract anyone to receive any prize, no matter what the appearances may be. In addition, Waldyr seem to have had a good influence on TGA, at least for a while, give the roster of recipients after 2008, which seem at first glance to be legitimate researchers (for instance Djairo Guedes de Figueiredo, the one I can attest, is one of the greatest mathematicians from Brazil).

Any other interpretation is to dabble in a conspiracy theory that implies that dozens of busy scientists, trying to go on about their lives under publish-or-perish, would somehow have time to participate in a "secret society" to receive medals for a meager profit. This idea is not only illogical; it is also ridiculous, as any intellectual will tell you that if they wanted to make money that would have not joined academia at all. The capital they crave is reputation, based on their own merit. Whatever the dirt, if any at all, is found to be associated with Fucilla's business dealings, it will not stick on to the later TGA medal recipients, since prior to it they already had a reputation of their own merit.

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docentient (1840-1201 Filed) 108/29/118 Periage 19 of 19 PageID 1861

Again, I am just a reader who found this by chance but will not simply accept what is published in the internet without checking sources and thinking logically about what I read. Once again, thanks for publishing this and allowing me to keep anonymity to avoid the crackpots of cassiopeia.org.

Best regards.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=50500#RESPOND)



#### PEPIIN

 $08/12/2016 \ at \ 9:49 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-45822)$ 

I stumbled upon a interesting article on arXiv: The Ecology of Fringe Science and its Bearing on Policy (https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.05786). Santilli and his IBR, and Fucilla with his Telesio-Galilei Academy are mentioned in this article, as well as the anti-semitic nature of the website scientificethics.org.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=45822#RESPOND)



#### AARON VEE

 $06/08/2017\ at\ 1:20\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-52713)$ 

You do realize, I hope, that the principal author of that paper is basically anti-science and pro-paranormal. Back in the seventies, he tested 'Geller children', caught them cheating but nevertheless reported that their spoon-bending abilities were genuine!

REPLY (HTTP://www.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=52713#RESPOND)



#### **PEPIJN**

 $06/08/2017\ at\ 11:45\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-52721)$ 

I read some texts on his involvement with these experiments in which they tested children to see whether they would cheat bending spoons, but as I understand it, his interest lies mainly with the scientists doing such an experiment, their methods and how they deal with results. In the recently published book containing the letters between Martin Gardner and Marcello Truzzi, the first one mentions however that Collins told him that he thought the 'Geller effect' is genuine, and that the experiment was set up to confirm it. But this is in a letter from 1981. Unless you can give more evidence that Collins is indeed anti-science and pro-paranormal in general, I'm inclined to think that his personal beliefs on the "Geller effect" have changed or haven't played a role in his further scientific research.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=52721#RESPOND)



## **AARON VEE**

06/08/2017 at 7:28 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-52744)

One of his co-experimenters and myself worked in the same field (crystal growth). This person (now deceased) told me – at a foreign conference – that they had watched the children through a one-way mirror. The children easily by-passed the inadequate seals, bent the specimens by hand, and replaced the seals. Collins' conclusion was that they had done so only to avoid 'disappointing' the experimenters. Geller of course used the same excuse when he was caught cheating. Look at Collins' reaction to Randi's devastating Project Alpha: he attacked it on the basis that it was not set up as a proper scientific experiment. It did not have to be: it was a demonstration. Collins has, more recently, used a Randi-type scam for his own purposes. If you want proof that Collins is generally antiscience, you have only to read his books with Pinch. You know, if there is anything worse that a pseudoscientist, it is a naive skeptic. I have read everything that Collins has ever written ... you should do the same.



### PEPIJN

06/08/2017 at 8:35 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-52747)

Thanks for the tip on the books, I'll add them to my reading list. Discussion on Collins work in general is quite off topic here, maybe there will be another occasion. The article I found Arxiv is not bad as far as I can remember from the time I read it. I did find some errors in it, which I send to Collins and I also suggested another motivation for doing fringe science.

Pingback: Sued by Ruggero Santilli - Pepijn van Erp (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/11/sued-by-ruggero-santilli/)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Doctorinent (1884-21/118 Peplage 31 of 33 PageID 868

Pingback: Popehat Signal: Dutch Blogger Sued In Florida For Criticism of Junk Science | Popehat (https://popehat.com/2016/11/10/popehat-signal-dutch-blogger-sued-in-florida-for-criticism-of-junk-science/)

Pingback: More Santilli Shenanigans - Pepijn van Erp (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/08/santilli-shenanigans/)

Pingback: Il telescopio che rivela l'anti-materia - Seconda parte - OggiScienza (http://oggiscienza.it/2016/02/11/telescopio-antimateria-santilli-bufala/)

Pingback: Il telescopio che rivela l'antimateria – Prima parte – OggiScienza (http://oggiscienza.it/2016/02/10/bufale-telescopio-antimateria-santilli/)



#### PEPIIN

 $06/02/2016\ at\ 6:18\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-38075)$ 

Wrote a short blog post on the Santilli telescope: 'The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/)'

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-IERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=38075#RESPOND)



#### FRANK STONE

 $03/08/2016\ at\ 11:49\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-41681)$ 

Did you see this

https://www.accesswire.com/442795/Thunder-Energies-Corporation-Chief-Scientist-Honored-at-the-University-of-La-Rochelle-France (https://www.accesswire.com/442795/Thunder-Energies-Corporation-Chief-Scientist-Honored-at-the-University-of-La-Rochelle-France)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41681#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

 $04/08/2016\ at\ 3:57\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding.jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-41698)$ 

Of course we did not see this. This 'news' is only spread via your own press release, which I only became aware of, because you/Carla Santilli was so eager to let me know via mail and here in this comment.

None of the websites of the alleged co-sponsors have anything on this phoney award. Probably they don't even know mr. Svetlin Georgiev is doing Santilli a favor in their name. It's just a dull piece of paper – when printed of course.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41698#RESPOND)



### FRANK

 $04/08/2016\ at\ 6:12\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-41705)$ 

The other 6 people who got the same award must feel really good about your comments...and also the cosigners of Prof. Georgiev on the dull piece of paper must feel really threatened by your pompous judgement.... given your high position in science



### PEPIJN

 $05/08/2016\ at\ 8:54\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailling-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-41718)$ 

Well, well, six other participants got a similar piece of paper! And we were almost made to believe this was an exclusive award. Maybe the other lucky ones also wrote some press releases to shout out to the world how pleased they are! Although now it looks more like a certificate to proof attendance to this seminar ...

BTW nice font was used for the name on the award(s). Not a very common font, I saw it used a little while ago under an attorney's letter, maybe to give the impression of a signature. Did mrs. Sherri Stone prepare this awards, or do you or Ruggero these things yourselfs?



### CHRISTIAN CORDA

 $07/08/2016\ at\ 3:45\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-41809)$ 

Mr. Svetlin Georgiev is one of the slaves of Mr. Santilli-Stone-Petronio etc.

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Doctornent (1884-1201) Tage 108/20/118 Periagre 192 of 193 Page ID 866



#### FRANK STONE

 $08/08/2016\ at\ 3:44\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding.jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-41854)$ 

Is the AIP (American Institute of Physics) also a slave of Santilli-Petronio? How about a half dozen of other sponsors....are they all slaves? How about the authors of the articles on Santilli's theories who are listed as attendees at this conference ...are they all slaves?

I see you are all mute on this.



#### PEPIJN

 $08/08/2016\ at\ 5:45\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-41857)$ 

AIP is definitely not in your pocket. They don't seem to be happy that their name is used on this 'award'. More people have asked AIP about this, I learned when I contacted them. We'll see how this develops.



#### **CHRISTIAN CORDA**

08/08/2016 at 11:00 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-41867)

Mr. Georgiev is the most squalid among the slaves of Mr. Santilli-Stone. In fact, on one hand, Mr. Anderson and Mrs. Fleming are two very ignorant guys who understand nothing of science. On the other hand, Mr. Georgiev is a lowest and unknown mathematician who hopes to have a career as a running dog of Mr. Santilli-Stone. As Mr. Santilli-Stone pays Mr. Georgiev, this latter organizes these ridiculous "awards" for Mr. Santilli-Stone

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=41867#RESPOND)

Pingback: The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli » Pepijn van Erp (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $09/10/2015\ at\ 1:10\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvilli-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-34609)$ 

Dear Pepijn and readers,

I am pleasured to inform you that the paper that I wrote together with my students Reza Katebi and Nathan Schmidt, where we clarify and correct Mr. Santilli's very elementary mistakes, flaws and misunderstandings in gravitation and cosmology, has been accepted for publication in Entropy, a serious, mainstream journal having impact factor 1.5 and edited by a prestigious Editorial Board, see http://www.mdpi.com/journal/entropy (http://www.mdpi.com/journal/entropy). The pre-print of the paper is still available here: http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206 (http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206). The definitive version accepted for publication in Entropy is v2.

Best wishes.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=34609#RESPOND)



### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $04/11/2015 \ at \ 9:28 \ am \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvilli-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-35949)$ 

Entropy changed its decision and rejected the paper. We are going to find a more serious journal where publishing it.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=35949#RESPOND)



### NATHAN SCHMIDT (HTTPS://WWW.RESEARCHGATE.NET/PROFILE/NATHAN\_SCHMIDT)

18/09/2016 at 8:07 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-42754)

Concerning our paper and such issues... I made a recent post on the Santilli Club here: http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-42704 (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-42704)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=42754#RESPOND)



# CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $30/06/2015 \ at \ 12:37 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-28032)$ 

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docernic of 1/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VM



Dear Pepijn and readers,

Together with my students Reza Katebi and Nathan Schmidt, we wrote a paper where we clarify and correct

Mr. Santilli's very elementary mistakes, flaws and misunderstandings in gravitation and cosmology.

The paper, which has been submitted for publication to a serious international journal – which is not American Journal of Modern Physics where the Santilli's club is currently publishing their wrong results in gravitation

and cosmology - is available here: http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206 (http://vixra.org/abs/1506.0206).

The paper is dedicated to Mr. Santilli's 80th birthday, hoping that this will permit Mr. Santilli to understand how the general theory of relativity and the Lambda-CDM cosmology really work and, in turn, will permit him to withdraw his very wrong claims in gravitation and cosmology.

We also stress that the publisher of American Journal of Modern Physics, that is Science Publishing Group, is considered a predatory open access publisher, i.e. an exploitative open-access publishing business model that involves charging publication fees to authors without providing the editorial and publishing services associated with legitimate journals (open access or not), see http://scholarlyoa.com/? s=Science+Publishing+Group (http://scholarlyoa.com/?s=Science+Publishing+Group).

Best wishes.

Ch.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=28032#RESPOND)



#### FRANCESCO (HTTP://WWW.FRANCESCO-FUCILLA-SATIRES.COM)

31/05/2015 at 11:46 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-26203)

Having read some of the nonsense you write about,

In my opinion you should find a new horizon in life!!!

In fact, I strongly recommend

That you change profession altogether as you are clearly

Totally ignorant as to the facts of life !!!

Just writing the word "fringe science",

Tells me you know very little about nothing !!!

It matters not that santilli has made some

Fairytalish statements, I can assure you, that the non fringe scientists (as you

May cathegorize them ) are 10 times more fringe than santilli !!!

In fact u challenge you to give me a list of non fringe scientists and

I will show you from publications made, they are 10 times more fringe  $\,$ 

Scientists then santilli !!!

In order to avoid writing a book on the subject, start watching football

It is the closest analogy to describe the present state of the art as to science and fringe science !!!

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=26203#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN

31/05/2015 at 12:52 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-26206)

Is this Francesco (father) or Anthony (son) speaking? Your chosen name to display indicates the former, your e-mail address points to the latter option.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=26206#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN

 $18/05/2015 \ at \ 3:09 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvilli-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-25552)$ 

'Luca Petronio' tried to leave another comment just some minutes ago, but he can save himself these efforts. Unless 'he' can show convincing evidence that 'he' is a real person and not just a mere sockpuppet of Ruggero Santilli, I'll keep blocking his comments as best as I can. Convincing evidince might be a certified copy of an Identity paper, like a passport. But that's not enough: I'll require similar proof of existence of 'Richard Cox' mentioned in my article and sincere and credible apologies for the threats which were made by him in my direction in name of the 'International Committee on Scientific Ethics and Accountability'.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=25552#RESPOND)



PEPIJN

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docerment (820-2011Filed) 28/29/113 Peplage 34 of 39 PageID 300



13/05/2015 at 12:55 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-25295)

Today 'Luca Petronio' tried to leave another comment on this blog, directed at Christian Corda, but I have not let that one get through because of its anti-semitic nature. For who is interested in what 'Petronio'/Santilli likes to share with the world, I'll refer to http://www.scientificethics.org/denunciation-christian-corda.htm (http://www.scientificethics.org/denunciation-christian-corda.htm)

One quote from that site:

WARNING: corda is uploading all sort of messages in the jewish controlled website pepijin van erp / from the above documentation of foul and corrupt conduct ,the reader should be aware that most of these posts are adulterated and fake / by contrast our adjoining dossier has the original emails with complete identification of their electronic originations /

That my site is supposed to be 'jewish controlled' is completely new to me.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=25295#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

13/05/2015 at 9:22 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-25330)

Dear Pepijn, dears readers

Kindly notice that there are no doubts that "Mr. Petronio" is a mere alias of Mr. Santilli, exactly as Kadeisvili, Weiss, Cox, Pound etc. Mr. Santilli's expression is indeed unmistakable. In any case, we have also an ultimate proof. In fact, in the text of one of his delirious emails "Mr. Petronio" did not realize that he was revealing his real identity. He indeed wrote:

———- Messaggio inoltrato ———-

Da: Scientific Ethics

Date: 30 aprile 2015 17:42

Oggetto:....

A: ....

.....More particularly, you should know that Italian "Judges" have been prosecuted whenever issuing claims of guilt against Americans under the violation the US Constitution. Here is a concrete case. Years ago F. Cardone filed a "querela" in Aquila against Prof. Santilli by claiming without any evidence whatsoever, that he was the author of a post signed by me. Vigorous prosecution against the "Judge" was initiated in the U. S. Federal Court and the Judge in Aquila, I believe, is still running now....

Independently on his squalid and ridiculous accusation against Italian judiciary, it is obvious that if "Mr. Petronio" is a different person with respect Mr. Santilli, he should have written

"Years ago F. Cardone filed a "querela" in Aquila against Prof. Santilli by claiming without any evidence whatsoever, that he was the author of a post signed by Prof. Santilli"

instead of

"Years ago F. Cardone filed a "querela" in Aquila against Prof. Santilli by claiming without any evidence whatsoever, that he was the author of a post signed by me".

This is a clear slip of the tongue which ultimately shows that "Mr. Petronio" is a sockpuppet of Mr. Santili...

Notice that it is false that Mr. Santilli-Petronio-Kadeisvili-Cox-Weiss-etc. is irritated with me for the reasons written in his crackpot blog. There are lots of ridiculous lies and inaccuracies in that crackpot blog that it will be extremely simple for me to legally destroy Mr. Santilli-Petronio-Kadeisvili-Cox-Weiss in the lawsuit. In fact, I

saved ALL the documentation of my five years email correspondence with Mr. Santilli-Petronio-Kadeisvili-Cox-Weiss-etc and his servants and I will easily unmask their lies and inaccuracies. The real reason for

 $which \ Mr. \ Santilli-Petronio-Kadeis vili-Cox-Weiss-etc. \ is \ irritated \ with \ me \ is \ that \ I \ publicly \ wrote \ that:$ 

"I am indeed very tired, bored and irritated in listening wrong claims as "general relativity is wrong" and/or "Hubble's law establishes that the cosmological redshift is the same for all galaxies having the same distance from Earth in all directions in space. Consequently, the conjectures on the expansion of the universe, the acceleration of the expansion and the big bang necessarily imply a return to the Middle Ages with Earth at the center of the universe". The last statements on the lack of the expansion of the universe can be easily dismissed even by high school students... I also add that Santilli does not understand he difference between tensors and pseudo-tensor when he claims that general relativity is wrong, see this link:

http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/A%20SAD%20STORY.pdf (http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/A%20SAD%20STORY.pdf) ".

Again, I must stress that Mr. Santilli claims to work doing "scientific research" from almost 60 years. Then, if in almost 60 years nobody informed Mr. Santilli that the radial Hubble law and the expansion of the Universe DO NOT imply Earth at the center of the universe is not my guilty. I were informed on this issue when I were

at the high school and my maths' teacher told me on the analogy between the surface of the expanding balloon and the expanding universe... From a technical point of view, the universe is seen as a space-like hyper-surface having NO centre. This is written in ALL the textbooks of

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docerment (800-2011) File of 0.01/20/113 Periagre 35 of 35 PageID 500

gravitation and cosmology and it is well known also at elementary, popularizing level. Again, if Mr. Santilli has NEVER read a book of gravitation and cosmology in almost 60 years is not my guilty. In the same way, if nobody informed Mr. Santilli on the

difference between tensors and pseudo-tensors in almost 60 years is not my guilty. When Mr. Santilli claims that the Freud identity of differential geometry is in contrast with the Einstein field equations in vacuum he speaks nonsense. The Freud identity of differential geometry is composed by the Einstein tensor on the left hand side and by sums of products of Christoffel coefficients on the right hand side. If a student at the university would like to overtake an exam of "Introduction to general relativity" he must know that the Christoffel

coefficients and, consequently, their sums of products, are pseudo-tensors instead of true tensors because, differently from tensors, they are coordinate dependent objects. In a local Lorentz coordinate frame all the pseudo-tensors become null (exactly like Mr. Santilli knowledge and understanding of general relativity) and the Einstein field equations in vacuum are retrieved. In other words, the Freud identity of differential geometry is a further strong proof that the Einstein field equations in vacuum are correct in contrast with Mr. Santilli's crackpot claims. Again, if Mr. Santilli did not overtake his exam of "Introduction to general relativity" at the

university is not my guilty... Thus, Mr. Santilli-Petronio-Kadeisvili-Cox-Weiss-etc. should return to study

basic physics that he does not know and/or does not understand instead of waste his time to send us his squalid and delirious emails... In any case, as Mr. Santilli-Petronio-Kadeisvili-Cox-Weiss-etc. and his servants asked me to write papers criticizing Mr. Santilli's crackpot stuff on gravitation and cosmology, I decided to satisfy them by writing a strong rebuttal against those wrong crackpot claims. But I will not submit it the Amercan Journal of Modern Physics Special Issue that they are organizing, see

http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/specialissue/specialissueinfo.aspx? journalid=122 & specialissueid=122013

(http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/specialissue/specialissueinfo.aspx?journalid=122&specialissueid=122013). I will write a very strong paper in a serious journal which will show that Santilli's stuff on general relativity and cosmology is completely wrong at very elementary level. I will also put it in international archives in order to show to all the world that Mr. Santilli's knowledge and understanding of differential geometry and general relativity is completely null. This will be my gift for the 80th birthday of Mr. Santilli, who I consider only a poor man obsessed by fictional conspiracies and wanting to be surrounded by lowest slavers who do not admit criticisms.

Best wishes, Christian Corda

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=25330#RESPOND)



#### VICTOR

 $15/05/2015 \ at 5:48 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-25439)$ 

Dear mr. Corda!

I recently became interested in R.M.Santilli's research after visiting his website.

It seems to me that you have deep understanding of the Santilli's scientific developments.

Which parts of his work, in your opinion, does deserve interest? And which doesn't?

Best regards, Victor.

P.S. Sorry for my english - it isn't my native language.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=25439#RESPOND)



# CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $16/05/2015 \ at 5:43 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-25478)$ 

Sorry, after Santilli's insults, slanders and squalid antisemitism against me, I stopped to defend his work. Surely, is claims in gravitation and cosmology are completely wrong at very elementary level. The parts of his research work which should deserve interest are no more a problem of mine.



## CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $04/05/2015\ at\ 12:12\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-24579)$ 

Open letter to Ruggero Maria Santilli

Although I received very squalid and racist insults and slandering by your collaborator Eng. Petronio, I do not want bad bloods between us. Thus, I write here my version of what happened.

It is false that you are angry with me for my quarrels with Pamela Fleming and Sherry Johnson or because I claimed that the Hadronic Journal is not serious. I NEVER claimed that. Instead, you are angry with me because I wrote my strong criticisms in this website against your basically wrong claims in the research fields of gravitation and cosmology. In fact, I attempted various time in last years to explain you that the Big-Bang is NOT a cosmic explosion, I attempted various time to explain you that you do not understand how general relativity works, but you NEVER wanted to try to understand these issues based on your insistence that admitting the correctness of general relativity and of the big bang theory implies "being intentionally orchestrated to serve organized interests on Einstein," or similar accusations. In order to understand general relativity and its

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docerment (820-2011Filed) 08/29/113 Peplage 36 of 39 PageID 802

cosmological applications, one must have a majestic knowledge of differential geometry through years and years of studies. I regret, but this is not your case. You indeed confuse tensors with pseudo-tensors when analysing the Freud Identity and you claim that "the radial Hubble law and the expansion of the universe imply the Earth at the centre of the universe". These are very elementary mistakes, not "serious science". Only people like Anderson, Fleming and Johnson, who can be good collaborators but have null technical knowledge of these issues and think that you are the Messiah of science, can claim that you are correct. You are completely wrong instead and you ridicule yourself within the Scientific Community. I regret, but this is the truth. Please, give me a SOLE name of a serious scientist who agrees with you on these issues. I well remember that not only myself, but also Leong Ying, Peter Rowlands, Jorge Ovalle, Herman Mosquera Cuesta and other researchers who attended to your conferences in last years attempted various times to clarify these issues to you, but it was as we were speaking with a wall. A lot of your friends agree with me on these issues, but they have fear to speak. I have no fear instead, because I work on these issues from almost 20 years. I am a physicist of gravitation, while you are an applied mathematician. You cannot pretend to be the greatest scientist in all the fields of science. Science is too much complicated today and there is no room for knowing-all scientists.

Thus, as various colleagues insisted to connect such wrong claims in gravitation and cosmology to my name, I was forced to clarify my position. This is a real pity. I still think that you are a great scientists and that part of your research work should deserve a better attention by the scientific community, particularly what concerns hadronic mechanics, and, in turn, the research of new clean energies. I appreciate also what concerns your iso-relativity theory and your iso-mathematics. But I still stress that your knowledge and understanding of general relativity and modern cosmology are very low and your wrong claims at elementary level on these issues have the only result to generate a strong lack of credibility on the rest of your research work. This is your biggest problem, and you are the worst enemy of yourself. Thus, you should not be angry with me. I wrote explicitly in internet which is my position on your wrong claims at elementary level in gravitation and cosmology to preserve my reputation and my career. If you do not understand general relativity and standard cosmology is not my guilty. You always claim the necessity of "freedom and democracy in science". Thus, it is my right to openly claim that, in gravitation and cosmology you are completely wrong at a very basic level. You should accept criticisms and also a serious scientific discussion rather than permit your collaborators like Eng. Petronio insulting and slandering me sending squalid racist emails to me and my wife. You well know that the Scientific Community considers you a crackpot. You also well know that I defended you various times in last years against that definition. But I cannot defend and endorse your wrong claims in gravitation and cosmology. They are indeed indefensible because they are very wrong at basic level. Also notice that the issue that you are completely wrong in the research fields of gravitation and cosmology is not a real problem. I do not consider famous physicists like Isaac Newton, Galileo Galilei Albert Einstein, Paul Dirac and others as saints. None of them were infallible. They spoke a lot of nonsense in their career, but the few instances where they were corrected are well-known by historians of science and have fundamental effect on modern physics. The same could happen for you if you learn to be less arrogant and to accept criticisms.

Sincerely, Christian Corda

REPLY (HTTP://www.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=24579#RESPOND)



# CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $30/04/2015 \ at \ 10:54 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-24339)$ 

In the text of one of his delirious emails "Mr. Petronio" did not realize that he was revealing his real identity. He indeed wrote:

———- Messaggio inoltrato ———-

Da: Scientific Ethics
Date: 30 aprile 2015 17:42

Oggetto:....

A: ....

.....More particularly, you should know that Italian "Judges" have been prosecuted whenever issuing claims of guilt against Americans under the violation the US Constitution. Here is a concrete case. Years ago F. Cardone filed a "querela" in Aquila against Prof. Santilli by claiming without any evidence whatsoever, that he was the author of a post signed by me. Vigorous prosecution against the "Judge" was initiated in the U. S. Federal Court and the Judge in Aquila, I believe, is still running now....

Independently on his squalid accusation against Italian judiciary, it is obvious that if "Mr. Petronio" is a different person with respect Mr. Santilli, he should have written

"Years ago F. Cardone filed a "querela" in Aquila against Prof. Santilli by claiming without any evidence whatsoever, that he was the author of a post signed by him"

instead of

"Years ago F. Cardone filed a "querela" in Aquila against Prof. Santilli by claiming without any evidence whatsoever, that he was the author of a post signed by me".

This is a clear slip of the tongue which ultimately shows that "Mr. Petronio" is a sockpuppet of Mr. Santili...

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=24339#RESPOND)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Doctorinent/8842 with File of 08/29/118 Periage 37 of 33 PageID 808



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

27/04/2015 at 2:51 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-24028)

New updating: Mr. Santilli-Petronio-Kadeisvili-Cox-Weiss-etc. sent an email insulting me to my wife's job address... It seems that Einstein was correct when he claimed that "Only two things are infinite, the universe and human stupidity, and I'm not sure about the former"...

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-IERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=24028#RESPOND)



#### LUCA PETRONIO (HTTP://SCIENTIFICETHICS.ORG)

 $13/04/2015 \ at \ 8:53 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-22917)$ 

Public statement by Prof. R. M. Santilli documenting Corda vomiting "fuck you" to American ladies for respectful request of work he had to do under payment of his salary thus "mandating my "fuck you" to him as first gift. Lawsuits will set the sludge here.

Luca Petronio

Dear Colleague,

For your protection, I feel obliged to inform you that we are preparing legal action in the U.S. and the Italian Courts against Christian Corda and all his employers because, following the payment of over \$60,000 over the years plus various benefits, we have documentation that Mr. Corda acknowledged his appreciation via "public" defamation, slander and libel again ourselves as individuals, against our research and against the Hadronic Journal that paid his salary, with documented, unsolicited libelous statements to various scientists of the type "The Hadronic Journal is not a serious journal" and other documented "public" slander for which we will request due financial compensation from Mr. Corda and from his employees.

Additionally, the filing of legal action has been rendered necessary by the fact that, following firm but respectful requests to Mr. Corda "under his salary" to write papers on the political criticisms of our research which he proffered without any technical support at our 2015 scientific meetings, at my subsequent presentation at the Mediterranean Foundations and in other occasions, Mr. Corda attacked the American "ladies" Pamela Fleming and Sherry Johnson with an incredible foul language such as "fuck you" and with conduct more appropriate for the sludge of life rather than for a scientist, thus mandating due legal response "American style."

Please have no worries for your possible past contacts with Mr. Corda and have no worries for contacting me in any way you wish, since I will keep your message strictly confidential. However, since we have hired an international Investigative Agency monitoring any additional defamation, slander and libel by Mr. Corda with the most modern possible CIA-type electronic and other surveillance, to avoid the risk that you are detected by the Investigative Agency and automatically implicated in the expected legal proceedings, I recommend that, from the date of reception of this message on, you abstain from, any contact whatsoever with Mr. Corda and abstain from answering any his emails.

Ruggero Maria Santilli Acting Pro se

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=22917#RESPOND)



# PEPIJN

 $13/04/2015 \ at \ 11:47 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-22920)$ 

I only let this comment pass, because it clearly shows that Christian Corda is not making up stories about his break up with Santilli.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=22920#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $14/04/2015\ at\ 10:20\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-22937)$ 

Dear Pepijn,

A clarification is needed.

I have NEVER had a real salary by Mr. Santilli, by the Institue or Basic Research or by the Santilli Foundation. I had, instead a research grant of 1.500 US Dollars by the Santilli Foundation for my PROPER research work, that had the number Research Grant Number RMS-TH-5735A2310. This is reported in my Italian individual income tax return and I have various emails by the trust of the Santilli Foundation, Mr. R. Anderson on this issue. Thus, when Mr. Santilli claims about the "firm but respectful requests to Mr. Corda under his salary" to write papers on the political criticisms of our research which he proffered without any technical support at our 2015 scientific meetings, at my subsequent presentation at the Mediterranean Foundations and in other occasions, Mr. Corda attacked the American "ladies" Pamela Fleming and Sherry Johnson with an incredible foul language such as "fuck you" and with conduct more appropriate for the sludge of life rather than for a scientist, thus mandating due legal response "American style."" he tells stupid lies. In fact, all the additional work that I made for Mr. Santilli in last years, by organizing conferences, making editorial work for the hadronic journal, helping authors in writing papers (this was the reason for which I had a contrast with Mrs. Pamela Fleming. She asked me to help Dr. Ugo Abundo to edit a scientific paper ) was a free personal favour that I were making to Mr. Santilli. Clearly, if Mrs. Fleming asked me in arrogant way to make a non-remunerated job, I were forced to reply her in a bad way. Also notice that the request "to write papers on the political criticisms of our research which he proffered without any technical support at our 2015 scientific meetings" is merely ridiculous. Mr. Santilli claims that "Hubble's law establishes that the cosmological redshift is the same for all galaxies having the same distance from Earth in all directions in space. Consequently, the conjectures on the expansion of the universe, the acceleration of the expansion and the big bang necessarily imply a return to the Middle Ages with Earth at the center of the universe" is known to be completely wrong even by high school students who are well aware of the similarity between the Universe expansion and the surface of an expanding balloon... On the other hand, as clarified by Prof. Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr. here

http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/A%20SAD%20STORY.pdf (http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/A%20SAD%20STORY.pdf), Mr. Santilli do not know the difference between tensors and pseudo-tensor, which is minimally requester to overtake an exam of "Introduction of general relativity" at the university... Thus, requesting to write papers against lowest technical mistakes, that can be easily corrected by high school students and first years of university students to a professional scientists is not only ridiculous, but also offensive....

Best wishes,

Ch.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=22937#RESPOND)



## CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

14/04/2015 at 10:39 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-22939)

For the sake of completeness, "Mr. Petronio" (aka Santilli) did not limit himself to mandate his "fuck you" to me. He wrote "fuck you dirty jew" instead. I saved ALL his emails in order to prepare legal action in the U.S. and the Italian Courts against "Mr. Petronio" (aka Santilli) for antisemitism....



### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

14/04/2015 at 10:54 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-22940)

Again for the sake of correctness: I NEVER told "fuck you" to Mrs. Sherry Johnson, who sometimes signs herself as Mrs. Sherri Josephson (another alias?). I merely replied to her firm but respectful requests with firm but respectful answers (again I saved ALL the correspondence...)



## CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $14/04/2015 \ at \ 11:05 \ am \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-22941)$ 

Again for the sake of correctness, notice that, in the quarrelling between myself and Mrs. Johnson-Josephson originally Mr. Santilli and his collaborators gave reason to me. In fact, they terminate Ms. Sherry Johnson, see the following copied and pasted email:

———- Forwarded message ———

From: RMS Foundation

Date: 2015-03-20 18:00 GMT+01:00 Subject: Fwd: Personnell problems

To: Christian Corda

——– Forwarded Message ——–

Subject: Personnell problems

Date: Fri, 20 Mar 2015 10:00:52 -0400

From: RMS Foundation To: Anil Bhalekar

Dear Prof. Bhalekar,

Please be advised that we have been forced to terminate Ms. Sherry Johson because she engaged in a fight with Dr. Corda. We hope to locate her replacement soon and we shall let you know.

Regards

Richard Anderson

Trustee

The R. M. Santilli Foundation

http://www.santilli-foundation.org/ (http://www.santilli-foundation.org/)

http://www.world-lecture-series.org/ (http://www.world-lecture-series.org/)

http://www.world-lecture-series.org/santilli-cv (http://www.world-lecture-series.org/santilli-cv)

Thus, it is false that Mrs. Santilli-Petronio-Kadeisvili-Weiss-etc. is angry with my for my quarrels with Pamela Fleming and Sherry Johnson. He is angry with me because I wrote my strong criticisms in this website against his crackpot, unscientific claims against general relativity and the big bang theory...



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

17/04/2015 at 5:18 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-23164)

It is my pleasure to add a message by Prof. Stepan Moskaliuk, who has been the Former Editor in Chief of the Algebra, Groups and Geometries, another journal of Hadronic Press of Mr. Santilli, who received the email by Mr. Santilli against me:

Dear Prof. Santilli,

You wrote below that Dr. Corda is "very bad" person.

How I have to call you if you refused to pay me 6 000 USD for editing papers for the Journal "Algebra, Groups and Geometries" Issue 3 and 4, 2015 in accordance with the Contract signed by Carla Santilli in 2012?

Of course, as I told you in Rhodes: "money are only pieces of papers more important are our human relations".

But you consider your Colleagues only as your slavers.

Your not slaver,

Stepan Moskaliuk

Former Editor in Chief of the AGG

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=23164#RESPOND)



# CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $12/04/2015 \ at \ 5:19 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-22880)$ 

Dear Pepijn

There is a new, very squalid, development. This night I received a very frenzied email by a "person" (that I suspect to be a sockpuppet, because in the past he used various time the same email of "poor Kadeisvili" with the same way of writing...) who calls himself Luca Petronio and claims to be the secretary of Santilli's running dogs committee called "The International Committee on Scientific Ethics and Accountability see http://www.scientificethics.org/ (http://www.scientificethics.org/)". I traduced the text from Italian to English (but keep in mind that it was even more vulgar in the original Italian language). Here its is:

"Christian Corda,

my friend, how are you? I was told that you have stomach cancer. I hope it do its function. you cashed by prof. santilli and his lady in four years more than sixty thousand dollars plus travel expenses, hotel, etc. and what did you return? you treated with a language of real shit Mrs. pamela fleming of the santilli foundation who paid you a salary of 1500 dollars a month! What a salary for the work you were doing, and then, to make matters worse, you have dealt with only language used by mafia port Ms. sherry Johson the American company tec who kept paying you since the santilli foundation had thrown you in the garbage appropriately in response to a behavior so filthy, either way your shits were in response to messages American professional and respectful, suit in my life I have not seen a person so lurid, immoral and senseless like you

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docerment (8/201/201 File of 0.8/20/113 Peplage 20 of 39 PageID 303

after all this shit behavior, to make matters worse, you made accusations vulgar to many scientists around the world to discredit the newspaper Hadronic journal that had paid a huge salary for four years. and in addition, other lavish as if what was done was not enough, you wrote public letters in the internet against the prof. santilli and his wife who have a single blemish in their lives: giving confidence to a jew like you. and then in identical language, and absolutely in mine, so I say fuck you dirty jew.

the facts above are well documented with many witnesses. this message and to make you prepare to receive the just reward. First, our detective agency (which it is neither established in Italy nor in the USA) has sent me a copy of all your mails made in recent months and that you continue to send because you are guarded day and night. pamela and sherry then sent me, very privately, copies of all the shit that you spit on them. and then I got from friends who have had the misfortune to contact other shit that you have laying around, with their certified in English that you paint just fine .... if you think that usa women treated in this way thee give smooth you are a fool absolute maniac and dangerous to be hospitalized immediately ..... and then I made a beautiful dossier that weighs several pounds and I did copy here in New Jersey in many dozens of specimens. when you see it you will like why did just fine with headboard, contention list of all the hundreds of pages with progressive number for reference, etc. a very good work as you'll see.

then I started collecting all scientific contacts you have had in your life. I do not know if you understand the word "all" because it indicates all the colleagues who were contaminated by writing articles with you, all basically flawed because politics, all owners of the publishers who have had the misfortune to have you as dirt pseudoeditor, all colleagues editors who have the misfortune of being listed with you, all members of the group of prof. santilli who have had the misfortune to encounter a dirty shit like you, etc. etc., all names with mailing addresses for their receipt of the beautiful dossier documenting a person who you are, i.e. dirty and extremely immoral.

not be in a hurry to enjoy the just reward because I have a problem that helps you. while you, as a good jew, exploit your wife because you are not able to earn the money, on the contrary I keep my wife with my work in a beautiful villa by 100 feet long in new jersey with pool, cadillac, corvette etc. condo in the Caribbean for the winter, and so on. and then I have to work and I cannot devote as I would like to complete your right reward.. then do not be hurry because I will act blow for blow at the worst time for you depending on what you do that we will know immediately.

but you must be sure of one thing, your behavior and state of human baseness such as to require a reward proportional to the action. so I swear I'll haunt until the end of all your scientific activities so you forced to prime time to make a job for living, and then thank me .... Do not rush for reward, just wait and see.

I give you one last tip. this is the only email you will receive from me. I advise you not to answer because if you do you'll get thousands of automatic rejections email of your shit that are activated every time I turn on this special computer that I use to treat shits like you, but it does what you want and pay the consequences, rejections that will increase until the paralysis of your computer, but do as you want and you'll see .....

Notice that he dossier also contains a copy of my driver's license so that you will be humiliated even more claiming that I am prof. santilli. The dossier also contains statements certified by witnesses stating that prof. santilli leave our Committee in 1997 in order to give an additional opportunity for being be humiliated.

in wishing that your stomach cancer is doing its job, I salute you very strongly

Luca Petronio

Secretary

The International Committee on

Scientific Ethics and Accountability

http://www.scientificethics.org/ (http://www.scientificethics.org/)"

I think that any comment is unnecessary in order to understand what kind of scoundrel, psychopathic and crackpot is "Mr." Petronio. I only highlight his squalid antisemitism. On one hand, if "Mr." Petronio is a mere alias by Santilli, I have only mercy for this poor old man, obsessed by false conspiracies and being at the bottom of the barrel. On the other hand, if he should be a real person I hope to have the pleasure to meet him sometimes in some part of the world in order to hit his backside with lots of kicks...

Best wishes,

Ch.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=22880#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN

12/04/2015 at 7:05 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-22883)

It seems like you got the standard goodbye from Santilli 🧐

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-IERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=22883#RESPOND)



### CHRISTIAN CORDA

12/04/2015 at 11:00 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-22888)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docerment (1884-124) The charge 1811 of 1819 Page 1D 1819 1

"Mr." Petronio is a crackpot and a liar. In fact, I never wrote something against the wife of Santilli, who is a great lady and the sole serious person in that crackpottery and collection of arrogant cranks. My only complaint in this squalid history is about the possibility to have created problems to her.

Best wishes,

Ch.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=22888#RESPOND)



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $18/03/2015 \ at 6:14 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-20103)$ 

Dear Pepiin,

I have to inform you that I ultimately ended my collaboration with Santilli and his running dogs. My collaboration with Santilli into crisis during the Rhodes meeting in September 2015. In that meeting, I criticized Santilli's stuff on antimatter, gravitation and cosmology. I am indeed very tired, bored and irritated in listening wrong claims s as "general relativity is wrong" and/or "Hubble's law establishes that the cosmological redshift is the same for all galaxies having the same distance from Earth in all directions in space. Consequently, the conjectures on the expansion of the universe, the acceleration of the expansion and the big bang necessarily imply a return to the Middle Ages with Earth at the center of the universe". The last statements on the lack of the expansion of the universe can be easily dismissed even by high school students... I also add that Santilli does not understand he difference between tensors and pseudo-tensor when he claims that general relativity is wrong, see this link: http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/errata050408.pdf (http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~walrod/errata050408.pdf). I clarified that, although i think that part of Santilli research work should deserve a better attention by the scientific community, particularly what concerns the research of new clean energies, this does not mean that I agree with all Santilli's claims. In particular, I completely disagree with his claims on gravitation and cosmology, which are completely wrong. After that issue, the Santilli Foundation started to reduce my salary and I was attacked various times by Santilli's servants (which I suspect to be mere sockpuppets...). It seems that the slaves do not permit their messiah to be criticized...

Thus, I ultimately stopped to organize any conference activity for them.

They also asked me to write papers criticizing Santilli's stuff on gravitation and cosmology. Thus, I decided to satisfy them by writing a strong rebuttal against those wrong claims. But I will not submit it the Amercan Journal of Modern Physics Special Issue that they are organizing, see http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/specialissue/specialissueinfo.aspx?journalid=122&specialissueid=122013 (http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/specialissue/specialissueinfo.aspx?journalid=122&specialissueid=122013). I will write a very strong paper in a serious journal which will show that Santilli's stuff on general relativity and cosmology is completely wrong. I will also put it in international archives. Surely, they will accuse me to be "corrupted by scientific crime" and that my "work is intentionally orchestrated to serve organized interests on Einstein," or similar frenzied accusations. Of course, I have not fear of such a crackpottery. This is the end of my collaboration with Santilli, but, really, I had enough of these ignorant crackpots and of all these madness and arrogance.

Sincerely,

Christian Corda

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=20103#RESPOND)



### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

18/03/2015 at 6:40 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-20107)

Sorry, the Rhodes meeting was obviously in September 2014.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=20107#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN

18/03/2015 at 9:36 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-20127)

Dear Christian,

Interesting developments, thanks for letting me and the visitors of this blog know.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=20127#RESPOND)



# CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

19/03/2015 at 10:09 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-20221)

Dear Pepijn,

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docernient (880-2011Filed) 08/29/118 Peplage 22 of 39 PageID 888

He is myself who thanks you for permitting to clarify my position on your blog. I have also to add another point that I forgot in my previous message but I think it can be important. After the Rhodes meeting I attended to another meeting of Santilli in the end of September 2014. In that meeting, I raised criticisms by other people on his stuff, with the sole goal to activate a debate. At the end of that meeting Santilli told me that his "Foundation" was informed about the ancient Jewish origins of my family. After these issues, his "Foundation" started to reduce my salary. In any case, I stress that I do not think that Santilli is a bad guy, but only an old man obsessed by conspiracies and surrounded by lowest slavers who do not admit criticisms.

OK, I will bring back to you and your readers after my writing the paper against Santilli's stuff on gravitation and cosmology and after the feedback by Santilli's slaves on it.

Best wishes,

Ch.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-IERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=20221#RESPOND)



### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $02/01/2015 \ at \ 10:06 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-11232)$ 

Dear Pepijn van Erp,

I am sorry, but I am not the public frontman of Santilli's 'science'. I am in friendship with Santilli and I merely think that part of his research work should deserve a better attention by the scientific community, particularly what concerns the research of new clean energies. This does not mean that I agree with all Santilli's claims. I have indeed my personal scientific history. I principally work within mainstream physics and I have my recognized results, see http://hyperspace.uni-frankfurt.de/author/cordacgalileigmailcom/ (http://hyperspace.uni-frankfurt.de/author/cordacgalileigmailcom/).

Happy New Year to you and all the readers.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=11232#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

02/01/2015 at 10:44 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-11237)

Mr Corda,

Maybe you can share your thoughts with me and the readers of my website on the ideas of Santilli discussed in this article. Dou you think that the Magnecules as proposed by Santilli really exist? Or is this one of his claims you don't agree with?

And what about the existence of Kadeisvilli?

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=11237#RESPOND)



# CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $03/01/2015 \ at \ 6:56 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding.jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-11323)$ 

Dear Pepijn,

Thanks and be free to merely call me Christian.

Actually, I am not the attorney of Santilli and of magnegas. I did not know Kadeisvilli by person, but I only interacted with him by email. My intervention here is only for clarifying my position. There is something correct on what Francesco Solombrino wrote below. I have a Phd with a good supervisor in a Italian institution, the Pisa University. After that I found no position in the official Italian academies based on the aversion of Italian University barons, comprised my PhD supervisor, who became envious of my internationally recognized research (more that 100 scientific papers in international peer reviewed journals and conference proceedings, more than 40 of such papers are single-authored, see here the ones put in arXiv: http://arxiv.org/find/all/1/au:+corda/0/1/0/all/0/1 (http://arxiv.org/find/all/1/au:+corda/0/1/0/all/0/1), various international prizes, Editorial Board Member of 7 international peer reviewed journals, invited speaker in various conferences, etc. ) and I started to collaborate with Santilli for the sake of survival. Recently, Santilli and his USA collaborators reduced my salary and I am searching a new job. Can you help me? I would like to collaborate with you and the sceptical foundation Skepsis. But kindly notice that my general opinion is that although the 98% of the work of a researcher can be, in principle, wrong, it is a good thing to save the remaining 2%. This is the criteria on which I judge the resarch work, not only of Santilli, but of every researcher.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=11323#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN

 $03/01/2015 \ at \ 9:53 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvilli-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-11337)$ 

Dear Christian,

So you only had e-mail contact with Kadeisvili? Maybe you can explain how this can be as this seems strange to me. Kadeisvili was for instance scheduled for a lecture just after lunch at the ICNAAM 2012 conference, in the mini-symposium 'Seminar Course on Hadronic Mechanics' (link (http://2012.icnaam.org/Sessions\_Minisymposia.htm), nr. 50), of which you chaired the morning session. Wasn't he there in person? And didn't you attend the afternoon session?

Skepsis doesn't have anything to offer in sense of jobs, the foundation mainly uses its resources for the magazine "Skepter".



#### CHRISTIAN CORDA (HTTP://HYPERSPACE.UNI-FRANKFURT.DE/AUTHOR/CORDACGALILEIGMAILCOM/)

 $03/01/2015 \ at \ 11:10 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-11353)$ 

Dear Pepijn,

Yes, I remember the ICNAAM 2012 conference, and the mini-symposium 'Seminar Course on Hadronic Mechanics'. Kaidesvili was not present and Santilli told us that it was due to health problems. In my personal opinion, Kaidesvili was a real person, because, although his way of writing was similar to Santilli's way of writing (this is not strange because Kaidesvili considered Santilli as his scientific mentor), his English language in his emails to me seemed better than Santilli one.

Please, can you kindly give me a link for the magazine "Skepter"? Thanks and regards.



#### PEPIIN

04/01/2015 at 11:44 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-11419)

The Skepsis magazine can be seen via this link (http://www.skepsis.nl/cover.html), but all articles (many online available) are in Dutch.



### BEN M

 $07/09/2014\ at\ 9:19\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-1126)$ 

It strikes me as odd that Martin O. Cloonan (whose writing style is angry in a manner common for Santilli but rare, in academia, and in the midst of a discussion about sockpuppets) refers once to "my paper" but later to "Cloonan's paper". Are these posts really written by the real Dr. Cloonan?

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=1126#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN

07/09/2014 at 11:34 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-1135)

Email address & IP point to Martin Cloonan. I just abandoned Disqus as commenting system, so I can't check whether it was a guest account or a full Disqus account. In theory someone could have send those comments using a proxy at the Galway University College and using his email address, but I guess it is safe to assume that it is dr. Cloonan himself who left these comments here.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=1135#RESPOND)



### BENIAMIN SCHARF

 $11/07/2014\ at\ 11:13\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-533)$ 

First of all, crazy story and wonderful work as a journalist.

I have something to add on Kadeisvili:

Last week I was at the big AIMS Conference in Madrid (2500 mathematicans). There were a lot of special sessions (I was in Special session 48)

Here you find the whole programm + abstracts  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left$ 

http://www.aimsciences.org/conferences/2014/abstracts-book-finalized-2014-06-10.pdf (http://www.aimsciences.org/conferences/2014/abstracts-book-finalized-2014-06-10.pdf)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docerment (8/201/201 Filed) 20/13 Peplage 24 of 39 PageID 300

http://www.aimsciences.org/conferences/2014/program-book-finalized-2014-06-16.pdf (http://www.aimsciences.org/conferences/2014/program-book-finalized-2014-06-16.pdf)

Look at the programm for Special Session 56:

http://www.aimsciences.org/conferences/2014/2014\_schedule.html (http://www.aimsciences.org/conferences/2014/2014\_schedule.html) (Room E7-202)!

talks by:

Thursday

Ruggero Maria Santilli

Jerdsay Kadeisvilli

Christian Corda

Arun S. Muktibodh

Thursday

Svetlin S. Georgiev

Stepan Moskaliuk

Gerard Berthier

Carmen Ivone

John Ruan

Friday

John Smidth

Laurent Peterson

Peter Maers

Nicolas Laurence

Here is the abstract of Santilli:

Origin and applications of the isodiderential calculus and isodynamical systems

Ruggero Maria Santilli

Institut for Basic Research, Palm Harbor, Florida, USA,

USA

"We review the physical and chemical origin of the

isotopies of the diderential calculus, today known

as the Santilli-Georgiev IsoDi₄erential Calculus (IDC);

we review its use for the isotopies of dynam-

ical systems; and outline various novel applica-

tions in physics and chemistry http://www.santilli- (http://www.santilli-)

foundation.org/Madrid-2014-Santilli.pdf"

Advances in the Lie-Santilli IsoTheory

Here is the abstract of Kadeisvilli:

Advances in the Lie-Santilli IsoTheory

Jerdsay Kadeisvilli

Institute for basic research, Palm Harbor, Florida, USA,

"We review the physical origin of the isotopies of the vari-

ous branches of Lie's theory formulated in the late 1970s

by Prof. R. M. Santilli when at the Department of Math-

ematics of Harvard University and present various ad-

vances."

(You can find them on page 230/231 of the abstract book)

The thing is that I only got to know about all this today on the way back (another postdoc telling me, he might add a comment to). Otherwise I would have gone there to see what happens.

Now the best thing is:

Some of the speakers of this seminar pretend to come from real prestigious universities, like

Imperial College

Sorbonne University

Some come from universities I have never heard of

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docernient (1820-2011) The control of the property of t

"National University of Madrid, Spain" -

Public University of Uruguay,

Government University of Liberia

London University of Art Sciences

I don't know if they even exist???

But the best thing is: NONE OF THEM HAS A UNIVERSITY MAIL, all have yahoo or gmail as a hoster – this is super rare, usually you present your university mail address there (if you are not moving at the moment)

But at least 3 of the Persons seem to be existent:

Stepan Moskaliuk, Bogoliubov Institute for Theoretical Physics of NAS of Ukraine, Ukraine

Christian Corda, Istituto Universitario di Ricerca Scientifica Santa Rita, Firenze, Italy

Arun Muktibodh, Mohota College of Science, India

It seems like that Moskaliuk and Santilli have their own journal:

http://www.hadronicpress.com/algebra\_edit.htm (http://www.hadronicpress.com/algebra\_edit.htm)

Here is the CV of Christian Corda

http://www.europment.org/conferences/2014/santorini/Corda-CV.pdf (http://www.europment.org/conferences/2014/santorini/Corda-CV.pdf)

He claims to be the editor of various journals, e.g.,

Editor in Chief of "The Open Astronomy Journal";

Editor in Chief of "The Hadronic Journal";

Editor of the "Central European Journal of Physics";

Editor of "The International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences";

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=533#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

 $12/07/2014\ at\ 12:40\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvill-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-534)$ 

Next week there's a conference in Narvik, Norway which also features a lot of the same names. See session M3 on http://icnpaa.com/index.php/icnpaa/2014/schedConf/trackPolicies (http://icnpaa.com/index.php/icnpaa/2014/schedConf/trackPolicies)

This time the abstract mentions 'the late Kadeisvili'. My guess is that Santilli almost never visits these seminars/sessions in person, but they will show one of his pre-recorded lectures. For instance this one: http://www.world-lecture-series.org/advances-in-the-lie-santilli-isotheory (http://www.world-lecture-series.org/advances-in-the-lie-santilli-isotheory) "Talk deliverd by R.M. Santilli due to Kadeisvili's death', so allegedly to honor Kadeisvili, but isn't it a bit strange that the viewer gets a good detailed view on Santili's decorations, but not one picture of this Kadeisvili?



Corda seems to be the public frontman of Santilli's 'science', but he is also involved with the dubious businesses of Francesco Fucilla.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=534#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

12/07/2014 at 1:01 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-535)

The video of the 'late Kadeisvili'-lecture (http://www.world-lecture-series.org/advances-in-the-lie-santilli-isotheory (http://www.world-lecture-series.org/advances-in-the-lie-santilli-isotheory)) really starts to get amusing from about 36 minutes – Santilli in one of his best performances



REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=535#RESPOND)



### BENIAMIN SCHARF

12/07/2014 at 9:48 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-537)

"I know this because I corresponded with him numerous times, ... , and his idea for this lecture, on which I totally agree"

Best...



#### FRANCESCO SOLOMBRINO

12/07/2014 at 2:55 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-536)

I am pretty sure that I have seen Santilli during the AIMS conference in Madrid. I happened to be by mistake in the room where they were holding their session, but I went away immediately because I wanted to attend another (more serious) lecture. It could be that he held two lectures, due to the alleged death of Kadeisvilli.

Corda, Fucilla and their mysterious businesses seems to be already well known and criticized in some italian science journalism blogs (http://ocasapiens-dweb.blogautore.repubblica.it/ (http://ocasapiens-dweb.blogautore.repubblica.it/)). Corda has a Phd with a good supevisor in a credible institution, but then he found no position in the academy and was offered a "professorship" in these dubious institutes. I think that these people somehow collect people with a real scientifical background but no chance of further career to build up a fake credibility. Through reciprocal awards between each other, self- or cross-citations, a impudent use of Open Access journals and (see http://forums.randi.org/showthread.php?t=231624 (http://forums.randi.org/showthread.php?t=231624)) they are often able to get grants and selling patents. Seems to be a lucrative job.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=536#RESPOND)

Pingback: Looking Back at 2013 » Pepijn van Erp (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2013/12/looking-back-at-2013/)



### GERT\_JAN\_VAN\_T\_LAND

 $21/02/2014\ at\ 5:32\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-333)$ 

Nice piece of investigation. Would be nice to have photo of the headstone. Does Elsevier have a scientific committee?

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=333#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

21/02/2014 at 6:05 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-334)

I don't think Elsevier really cares a about these kind of annoying questions. Maybe COPE (http://publicationethics.org/) could be an option, but I think the Santilli story will come to an end in the neat future anyway, the guy is quite old now and his company is struggling on the Nasdaq.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=334#RESPOND)



### PROFRACCOON

12/02/2014 at 1:45 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-324)

Dear Pepijn,

It would be a good idea to test the magnecule claim, by means of the simplest experiment: plasma arc gassification of destilled water, then lets see wat the mass spectrograph can make of the produced gas.

Years ago I commented (positively) on Santilli's isodual photon theory

via email. Not long after, Santilli he offered me the position of associate professor at his IBR (no salary involved).

I could publish one of my articles in his Hadronic Journal, although my paper had nothing to do with Santilli's theory on hadrons. Still I am grateful for that, although it all is

a bit odd, to say the least.

I mediated between Santilli and the Dutch institute Wetsus (is researching everything to do with water), for testing his magnegas generator with respect to processing waste water. Santilli shipped one of his magnegas generators, but Wetsus could not get the device to work, which was told to me today by an employee of Wetsus.

(That is the reason I found your site today, Pepijn, because I was checking the internet for independent confirmation of magnecules this evening, hmmm)

Unfortunately I wasn't brieved about this failure, no details about this were passed to me. It is rather odd that Magnegas inc does not get a permit to treat waste water, and that is why they sell ethylene glycol. This is very odd indeed, and this might add up to the Wetsus conclusion: it does not work, but I cannot be sure at all because I did not get any details on the attempt to test Santilli's magnegas generator.

So I believe the best way to "debunk Santilli's chemistry" (if you want to call it this way) is to do a verifying or falsifying experiment. Destilled water will do.

My gut feeling about your comments on Santilli is that you are correct about Santilli using different names for himself, but that does not make him a total fraud, although (if true) it is totally dishonoust. I disagree with you with respect to the Calo comment, it was not a total debunk. Indeed, Santilli has most likely forgotten water vapour, but there are more than enough unknown molecules in the mass spectography results. Santilli

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docerment (820-2011Filed) 08/29/113 Peplage 27 of 39 PageID 308

should have met this critisism much differently.

Firstly, he should have welcomed the paper by Calo, secondly he should have dealed with the details of Calo's comments, and that is the only way to do it.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=324#RESPOND)



#### PEPIIN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/)

12/02/2014 at 10:05 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-326)

The Wetsus connection interests me, if you have any more info on this or just a person I could contact about this, please send me via e-mail: info[at]pepijnvanerp.nl

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIINVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-IERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=326#RESPOND)



#### **CURBINA**

20/01/2014 at 10:23 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-322)

Hello Mr. Erp: I found your article while searching for updates about RM Santilli, whom I have been researching since 2004. As weird as it seems everything you say about Santilli, I wanted to know if you have ever read his book Foundations of Hadronic Chemistry and commented it with other scientists. The reason I make this question is because I once asked a Plasma Physicist Ph D, (at the time we both of us were members of a forum of a new free energy claim that this Ph D was highly skeptic about), if he knew about Santilli and what he thought about him. His answer was that Santilli was a person that "is probably so far ahead that just a few people in the world understand what he is talking about". He refused to say anything else about. So, beyond all the oddities of his character and his paranoid behaviour, I have not been able to find people that would simply and plainly call Santilli a pseudocientist, and at least some respected scientists regard his ideas controversial, but not necessarily wrong.

## I recall the case fo a

person that has a very similar behaviour (and even the same kind of outlandish claims) coming from a completely different field of science, I am talking about Dr. Mensur Omerbashich, who is a geophysicist that claims to have a theory of gravitation that puts Einstein to shame. I draw a parallel because some of the outlandish behaviour (Omerbashich even claims to be heir to the Bosnian throne, and also claims that there's a conspiracy from jews in the scientific academia world). Despite of his outlandish claims, the research of this person is also published and he is still working for a Canadian University.

## So,

I'm just curious about these people that otherwise seem to have been, at least at the first part of their careers, serious and sensible persons, but later become paranoid academia outsiders, and yet, their ideas are not simply to be written off because some serious scientists do appreciate them as a real aportation to science.

### Please do

look about Mensur Omerbashich, if you don't already know him, you will certainly be able to draw the parallel with Santilli.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=322#RESPOND)



# PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

 $21/01/2014\ at\ 3:54\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-323)$ 

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docernent 480-2 with File of 08/20/113 Periagre 28 of 39 PageID 380

I have not read 'Foundations of Hadronic Chemistry' but I had a look at some of the more mathematical stuff Santilli has written. I must say, that I couldn't make much sense of it. His math is not my speciality as a mathematician, that could be it, but normally you can follow the main lines of thought in an article anyway. So there might be some valid thoughts in there that I miss.

His other ideas, like the one that the red light of the sun we see at sundown is caused by (iso)redshift are clearly absurd.

I will have a look at Omerbashich, never heard of him.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=323#RESPOND)



### PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

 $17/01/2014\ at\ 12:58\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-321)$ 

Added an update.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=321#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

 $21/11/2013\ at\ 7:16\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-287)$ 

Just found this hilarious remark on the Yahoo! Finance message board concerning Magnegas. There is at least one user ('donborghi') on that forum promoting the MagneGas story and defending Santilli against any criticism. Now he suggests that one of those more critical users is in fact me using flattering words: 'In the spirit of assumption though, dollars to doughnuts YOU are that pathetic HUMAN FAILURE blogger named Pepijin V.E. The dutch "skeptisis" who is a bigger loser than that Qwxyerian Wikipedia guy' (s)

Don't worry 'donborghi' (or should we perhaps call you Santilli?), I don't use obscure aliases when criticising pseudoscience.

see the MNGA message board at Yahoo! Finance (http://j.mp/I6VdbE)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=287#RESPOND)

Pingback: The many faces of Ruggero Santilli » Pepijn van Erp (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2013/05/jv-kadeisvili-alias-ruggero-santilli/)



## **KENNY CHAFFIN**

 $09/06/2013\ at\ 4:25\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvilli-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-145)$ 

Excellent Work! Thank you!

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=145#RESPOND)



### PENNEY DEKKER

 $03/06/2013\ at\ 5:29\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-133)$ 

Nice story Pepijn.

This guy even wrote a letter to the president.

"Dear President Obama,

I am bringing to your attention the capability of our Magnegas Corporation of using now our industrially available equipment for the recycling of the oil spilled in the Gulf of Mexico into a clean burning gaseous fuel known as MagneGas..."

Read it: http://www.magnegas.com/Pres-Obama-Let.pdf (http://www.magnegas.com/Pres-Obama-Let.pdf)

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=133#RESPOND)



### RICARDO CASTANHA

 $31/05/2013\ at\ 2:16\ pm\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-127)$ 

Fantastic stuff! I'm amazed with how much effort you put into this but, is certainly, great for science, in general, that someone does. One remark, however, in the interest of fairness it would be useful to say that Martin O. Cloonan's article actually questions (part of) Calo's rebuttal of Santilli's article.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=127#RESPOND)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docernient (1884-1944) The control of the property of t



# PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

 $31/05/2013 \ at \ 3:21 \ pm \ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvilli-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-128)$ 

Indeed Cloonan questions a lot of what Calo states, but most of it can easily be dismissed as nonsensical. Many of his arguments are like: 'Santilli has shown in earlier experiments blabla' which does not really convince me 😉

He might have a point in criticizing Calo's remark about an IR spectrum, though. But that's probably the only thing that stays upright as a bit weird if we accept that Santilli fairly presented the evidence. Hard to judge because of the lack of details provided by Santilli.

If we apply Occam's razor Calo's explanation should be favored by far.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=128#RESPOND)



#### RICARDO CASTANHA

31/05/2013 at 3:25 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-129)

I don't disagree, and neither is my point really about the content. It's just that in the interest of fairness you could add a remark about in in the main story.

REPLY (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/ARTICLES/FINDING-JERDSEY-V-KADEISVILI-OR-MAILING-WITH-RUGGERO-M-SANTILLI/?REPLYTOCOM=129#RESPOND)



#### PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

31/05/2013 at 9:45 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-130)

OK, to be fair I will quote Cloonan's conclusion here:

In conclusion the IR data combined with the mass spectra and GC/MS data, the ability to achieve a temperature of 9000C and to interact with diesel (SDGC data) are inconsistent with Calo's claim that the HHO gas contains only

'hydrogen, oxygen and watervapor'. The data are consistent

with anomalous behaviour for a gas obtained from water and thus the existence of magnecules is feasible. These results must also be viewed in light of Santilli's previous experimental evidence for magnecules [4,7,8,10]. Furthermore Santilli has stated that further data on the HHO gas will be published [10]. There is ample experimental and theoretical grounds for magnecules including quantum electrodynamics. New ideas and theories must be rigorously tested, especially when revolutionary, but only with pure logic and objectivity and via the true scientific method. Objectively Calo's analysis of the HHO gas does not fulfill these criteria.

I hope it is clear from this that Cloonan is arguing that Calo didn't convincingly prove that all measurements can be explained by assuming that 'MagneHydrogen' is nothing more than a mixture of hydrogen, oxygen and watervapor. But even if you would agree to all of the reasons Cloonan gives for that, it doesn't give any support for the hypothesis of Santilli.



### RICARDO CASTANHA

 $01/06/2013\ at\ 10:12\ am\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/\#comment-131)$ 

That's fine Pepijn.

In fact i started reading the skepticforum on this topic and i have become fascinated by it! It's just so compelling reading! This Santilli guy is an amazing character! And his aliases seem to have knowledge on everything! Physics, chemistry, engineering, law, personal knowledge, everything! I wish someone would write a book about him. Actually, even three, a thriller, a psychology essay and a sociology essay!

Fascinating!

It's of course awful that science is diluted by the sheer scale of publications, but you have to agree that such a character adds colour to our world :-D!



## DR. MARTIN O. CLOONAN

10/07/2014 at 4:23 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-530)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Document (880-2011File of 08/29/113 Peplage 50 of 39 PageID 503

YES something dramatic needs to be done about the sheer volume and chaotic order of scientific publications. There is no moving forward as a collective and new ideas and theories hard to develop due to the sheer volume of data. Also there is a dramatic move away from basic research and too much emphasis on applied research.



#### **PROFRACCOON**

12/02/2014 at 2:38 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-325)

Hmm, do you have a better hypothesis for the measured anomalies with respect to "H2 O2 watervapor", Pepijn? If not, Santilli's hypothesis is better than no hypothesis at all.

For instance, can you explain so many different unknown molecular species, considering all the anomalous mass spectrographic data from several different 'magnegas' samples (magnegas made from waste water or destilled water, etc...)?

Have you ever heard of O2H+? Not me.

But now that you have discredited Santilli's personality as a scientist, can we trust his papers at all, especially the data? That is the real issue I suppose, so independent measurements following Santilli's method of 'magnegas' production, is urgently needed.



#### PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

12/02/2014 at 10:12 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-327)

The issue at stake here is quite simple: Santilli makes an extraordinary claim so he should deliver the extraordinary evidence. Of course he could easily send some samples to independent (academic) labs, he makes enough money to pay for their intitial measurements. Now he can't even present the slightest shred of evidence which seems good enough to be trusted.



#### DR. MARTIN O. CLOONAN

10/07/2014 at 4:09 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-528)

Dear Mr van Erp. This is Dr. Martin O. Cloonan who you are referring to above. First of all you are a mathematician. I am a PhD Chemist and thus you are in no position to criticize my Article on Calo and Santilli. In fact you are not qualified to comment on any chemistry or any science because you do not have even a degree in Science. Your analysis then is meaningless and carries no weight. Have you ever analysed an unknown compound via IR, NMR, MS and elucidated its structure via integrating these techniques! Have you ever used HPLC or GC! Have you ever discovered a new molecule or proposed a valid reaction mechanism. Have you ever proposed an original idea! I have, its called the Cplex-isoelectronic theory. I also graduated top of my class in Chemistry with 1st Class Honours. Calo is not a chemist, he is an engineer. He also is not qualified to comment on chemistry data from any source. In my article i highlighted the deficiency in Calo's analysis due to his deficiency in chemistry. His technical use of chemistry through out his paper was mostly incorrect. I am one who supports new ideas and have seen in the past of new breakthroughs been put down due to ignorance and other motives. I have not stated in the paper that i am convinced magnecules exits. I have stated that we need to be open to new ideas especially as there are major concerns with present quantum chemistry. There is some grounds for magnecules in the sense that magnetic fields do have an effect on electrons. JJ Thomson used this fact to proved that electrons exist and that they have a negative charge. It is also inherent in Faraday's Law of Induction. I am also not stating that magnecules do exist. There are some unusual results in Santilli's evidence. This i have highlighted in the article. Whether Santilli's data is accurate or genuine is not in my power to determine. His data i looked at was as presented in peer reviewed journals, that is all i could go on logically and ethically. I gave my objective analysis on this peer reviewed data. So you are unqualified to 'dismiss' my comments 'as nonsensical'. Objectively you should go back to your field of expertise in maths and not invade on territory where you are out of your depth! YOU ARE NOT A CHEMIST! YOU ARE NOT A SCIENTIST!



## PEPIJN VAN ERP (HTTP://WWW.PEPIJNVANERP.NL/)

10/07/2014 at 6:52 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-531)

Well, I didn't comment on your article in my main article, just because I'm not a chemist. Only because someone in the comments asked to give you some credit for posing possibly valid questions to Calo's response, I gave my opinion on your article. To me it's just not very important and I think I've made it quite clear why. Sorry if you're are feeling upset by me addressing your remarks as nonsensical, but I think they are, if you consider what Santilli is claiming in his article. Maybe 'nonsensical' is not the most appropriate term, but something like 'duh' might be.

PS anything to add that might give some clue about this 'Kadeisvili' persona?

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Document 1880-2 with File of 08/29/18 Periage 51 of 89 PageID 888



#### DR. MARTIN O. CLOONAN

11/07/2014 at 2:54 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-532)

You state 'I gave my opinion on your article'. I reiterate my point you are not qualified to give an opinion on my paper be it in an article or in response to someones comment on my paper. You are not qualified, period. My paper was a chemical response to Calo's paper and not a response to Santilli's articles. Again i repeat i did not state Santilli was correct or that he was incorrect in my paper. If someone is going to challenge someone else scientifically then they need to be precise and accurate, Calo was not precise or accurate in his scientific attack on Santilli. This is the crux of the matter and of my paper. I stated we need to be open to new ideas and give everyone a fair hearing and this includes Santilli. What if we lose crucial and new ideas due to ignorance and other evil motives! I agree Santilli needs to publish more experimental data on Magnecules, MagneGas and the HHO gas. You need to read articles more diligently, before you pass comment, to reflect the article accurately but you can only do this if you are qualified as you clearly are not. You are a mathematician! What level did you achieve in maths? Have you published a new and original idea in maths?

Subjective terms such as 'think', 'nonsensical' and 'duh' are not scientific terms.

Why don't you submit an article to a peer-reviewed journal on Santilli's work if you are so sure of your analysis!? You have not done so because you know yourself you are not qualified to do so. Anyone can put anything up on the internet. I would advise your readers to ignore your subjectivity unless it is some comment in relation to the field of maths.

I have no comment to make about Kadeisvili and i certainly would give no insight to someone who makes comments like yours. Maybe you should try to solve the energy and environmental crisis since you are a self proclaimed expert at criticizing other peoples work. I will not be responding to any more comments on my paper on this website from anyone who is not a PhD Chemist or a PhD in some area of Science.



#### WILLEM-IAN

14/07/2014 at 12:21 am (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-540)

I wouldn't mind jumping into this discussion (all sounds very fascinating) but before I react, is it enough for me to have a PhD in theoretical chemistry (cum laude) before starting a conversation? If so, I'll read up on the material and get back to you later with my thoughts. I'll try to use proper scientific terminology, but I have to admit that the magnecules bit is new to me! You seem to have high standards for conversation partners, so I thought it would be prudent to first get you response before investing my time into this matter.

Kind regards,

Dr. Willem-Jan van Zeist



## DR. MARTIN O. CLOONAN

10/07/2014 at 4:15 pm (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-ruggero-m-santilli/#comment-529)

Yes Calo does not convincingly prove that all data is consistent with a mixture of hydrogen, oxygen and water vapour. Cloonan's paper is not meant as proof for magnecules.

### LEAVE A REPLY


Connect with:

Powered by OneAll Social Login

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked \*

Comment

Name \*



# FEATURED POST

Homeopaths in Liberia: 'Mission Ebola' (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2014/10/homeopaths-in-liberia-mission-ebola/)



(http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2014/10/homeopaths-in-liberia-mission-ebola/)

## POPULAR POSTS



Danish School Experiment with WiFi Routers and Garden Cress, Good Example of Bad Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2013/05/danishschool-experiment-with-wifi-routers-and-garden-cress-good-example-of-bad-science/)

25 May , 2013 ww nenii



Fake and Unethical Trial: Video Claims Miracle Mineral Solution Cures Malaria (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2013/05/fake-and-unethical-trialvideo-claims-miracle-mineral-solution-cures-malaria/) 22 May , 2013



The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/) 06 Feb , 2016

Wim Hof's Cold Trickery (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/01/wim-hof-method/)

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Docernic of 180 Page 10 00 20/18 Periage 53 of 89 Page 10 504



01 Jan , 2016

## RECENT COMMENTS



🛂 Pepijn on Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-

ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52747)

Thanks for the tip on the books, I'll add them to my reading list. Discussion on Collins work in general...



Aaron Vee on Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-

with-ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52744)

One of his co-experimenters and myself worked in the same field (crystal growth). This person (now deceased) told me -...



Pepijn on Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-with-

ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52721)

I read some texts on his involvement with these experiments in which they tested children to see whether they would...



Aaron Vee on Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with Ruggero M Santilli (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/articles/finding-jerdsey-v-kadeisvili-or-mailing-

with-ruggero-m-santilli/comment-page-1/#comment-52713)

You do realize, I hope, that the principal author of that paper is basically anti-science and pro-paranormal. Back in the...



 $Rob\ S.\ on\ The\ Continuing\ Stupidity\ of\ Ruggero\ Santilli\ (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/comment-public formula of the continuing of t$ 

page-1/#comment-52666)

Back in his hay-day Santilli was legit. Not so much now. After his Relativity BS and after I read "Apparent...

### LATEST TWEETS

Ook bij propaganda is kosteneffectiveit belangrijk twitter.com/mic/status/898... (https://t.co/GagzzBa5e8)

Yesterday (https://twitter.com/twitterapi/status/899298191295827 969) from Pepijn van Erp's Twitter (https://twitter.com/intent/user?user\_id=70361441) via Twitter Web Client (http://twitter.com)

Follow @pjvanerp

# **CATEGORIES**

Pseudo Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/pseudo-science/)	28
Quackery (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/quackery/)	19
Kloptdatwel (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/kloptdatwel/)	12
Bad Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/bad-science/)	12
Skepticism (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/skepticism/)	12
Chess (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/chess/)	6
Fact-checking (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/fact-checking/)	6
Science (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/category/science/)	4

## META

# 8/21/201 Case 8:17-cv-01797-VMC-MAP JV Doctor then the the three of 29/20/13 Periage 54 of 39 PageID 599

Log in (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/wordpress/wp-login.php)

Entries RSS (Really Simple Syndication) (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/feed/)

Comments RSS (Really Simple Syndication) (http://www.pepijnvanerp.nl/comments/feed/)

WordPress.org (https://wordpress.org/)

f (htt G+ (htt ps:// ps:// ww w.fa (htt plus. ceb ps:// goo ook. twitt gle.c com er.c om/ /pep om/ +Pe ijn.v pjva pijn aner nerp van p.3) Erp) )

FACEBOOK (HTTPS://WWW.FACEBOOK.COM/PEPIJN.VANERP.3)

TWITTER (HTTPS://TWITTER.COM/PJVANERP)

GOOGLE+ (HTTPS://PLUS.GOOGLE.COM/+PEPIJNVANERP)

 $@ \ Copyright\ 2012-2016\ Pepijn\ van\ Erp\ |\ Theme\ by\ Colorlib\ (http://colorlib.com/)\ Powered\ by\ WordPress\ (http://wordpress.org/)$ 

# **COMPOSITE EXHIBIT C**

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

RUGGERO SANTILLI and CARLA SANTILLI,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO. 8:17-cv-1797-T-33MAP

v.

PEPIJN VAN ERP, FRANK ISRAEL AND HOSTING2GO,

Defendants.

AFFIDAVIT OF SCOTT WAINWRIGHT

া প্রত্যাধ্য I, Scott Wainwright, the undersigned affiant, being first duly sworn, hereby deposes and says:

- I am over the age of eighteen, have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and am competent to testify.
- For the past three (3) months, as a professional management consultant for public companies, I have visited the office and laboratory of Plaintiff, Ruggero Santilli, to view and examine the equipment that Plaintiff completed, called the Directional Neutron Source (DNS).
- 3. In my role as a professional consultant, I seek investor introductions to the company to market this pre-production equipment which is technology intended for scanning of nuclear materials that could be smuggled into suitcase and other containers.
- 4. In my role, I also receive marketing materials, including a brochure, to assist Plaintiff with commercializing the equipment which could have applications for civil and military use.

- 5. I have worked in the past with Plaintiff, in particular MagneGas and have found that the defamation by Defendants are a great obstacle to overcome with investors as they will search and look at the internet for due diligence.
- 6. When approaching potential investors for Plaintiff, they will google Plaintiff as part of their due diligence and the title of the blog "The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli" is the first to appear and always prominently under the Wikipedia page and the Curriculum of Plaintiff.
- 7. I know from experience that the negative articles/blogs published by Defendants will turn away investors from continuing their due diligence and when this new technology is submitted the negative articles/blogs published by the Defendants will have a negative impact on the pre-screening of this project and its overall success through investors.
- 8. It is impossible to evaluate how many funding sources (individuals and companies) are and/or will be lost because of the negative articles/blogs and they will impede or delay this technology that is proposed for national security.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.	
Monthy Scott Wainwright	_
STATE OF FURIDA )	
COUNTY OF PINGUAS )  Sworm to and subscribed by Samuel Samuel	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of February, 2018, after product of Identification or was personally known by me	ction
Scott Bley Put.	
Notary Public  State of Flor My Commission Expire	rida
My Commission expires: 09 13 2021	

# **COMPOSITE EXHIBIT C**

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

RUGGERO SANTILLI and CARLA SANTILLI,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO. 8:17-cv-1797-T-33MAP

V.

PEPIJN VAN ERP, FRANK ISRAEL AND HOSTING2GO,

Detendan	ts.		

# AFFIDAVIT OF SCOTT TADSEN

- I, Scott Tadsen, the undersigned affiant, being first duly sworn, hereby deposes and says:
- 1. I am over the age of eighteen, have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and am competent to testify.
- On February 2, 2018, I visited the laboratory of Plaintiff, Ruggero Santilli, to view and examine the equipment that Plaintiff completed, called the Directional Neutron Source (DNS).
- 3. In my role of investment and security specialist, I seek funding to market this preproduction equipment which is technology intended for scanning of nuclear materials that could be smuggled into suitcase and other containers.
- 4. When I approached potential investors for Plaintiff, they google Plaintiff as part of their due diligence and the title of the blog "The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli" is the first to appear and always prominently under the Wikipedia page and the Curriculum of Plaintiff.

- 5. This search raises immediate questions and negativity towards Plaintiff's professional reputation and skills.
- 6. This search stops and hinders many people in their tracks as they will not even take the time to go further in their due diligence and instantly reject Plaintiff.
- 7. It is impossible to evaluate how many funding sources (individuals and companies) are lost because of the defaming articles and will impede or delay this technology that is proposed for national security.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAU	JGHT.
	Spott Tadser
STATE OF FLORIDA )	
COUNTY OF PINEULAS )	
Sworn to and subscribed before production of Identification FLOADA DENE	me this 17th day of February, 2018, afte

Notary Public Majested,

My Commission expires:

10/13/2019

JOHN P. MAGULICK
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF FLORIDA
Comm# FF927119
Expires 10/13/2019

About 280,000 results (0.56 seconds)

# Ruggero Santilli - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ruggero Santilli v

Ruggero Maria Santilli (born September 8, 1935) is an Italo-American nuclear physicist. Mainsti scientists dismiss his theories as fringe science, which Santilli, in his turn, describes as a mainst conspiracy against novel science. Contents. [hide]. 1 Biography; 2 Theories. 2.1 Magnecule theo HHO gas.

Institutions: Institute for Basic Research Nationality: American

Biography · Theories · Magnecule theory · HHO gas

You've visited this page many times. Last visit: 3/6/18

# The Continuing Stupidity of Ruggero Santilli - Pepijn van Erp

www.pepijnvanerp.nl/2016/02/the-continuing-stupidity-of-ruggero-santilli/ •

Feb 6, 2016 - 'But wait, there is no **Santilli** mentioned as author!', you might think. That's right, be another article on my website (from May 2013) you'll find my proof that 'J.V. Kadeisvili' is just an a **Ruggero Santilli** himself: 'Finding JV Kadeisvili – or Mailing with **Ruggero M Santilli**'. The webs this journal ...

You've visited this page 2 times. Last visit: 12/20/17

# Company - Thunder Energies Corporation

www.thunder-energies.com/index.php/ct-menu-item-3 -

Dr. Ruggero Santilli was born and educated in Italy where he achieved his Ph.D., the highest post education in mathematics and physics, as well as a chair in nuclear physics at the Avogadro Insti Turin. In 1967 Dr. Santilli was invited by the University of Miami in Florida to conduct research for and he ...

You've visited this page 2 times. Last visit: 11/14/17